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Synopsis

Nanocrystalline permanent magnets present unusual magnetic properties because of surface/interface effects different from those of bulk or microcrystalline materials. This is mainly due to the grain size and the presence or absence of intergranular phases. The first part of this work presents results of a systematic investigation of the relationship between microstructure and magnetic properties in isotropic nanocrystalline (Nd,Pr)(Fe,Co)B permanent magnets. Highly coercive (Nd,Pr)FeB-type magnets have been produced using high energy ball milling and melt-spinning techniques. The influence of small amounts of additives, Dy and Zr, and the substitution of Nd by Pr on the microstructural and magnetic properties are shown. An assessment of the hot deformation behaviour for these materials has been carried out. The lowest deformation stresses necessary for texturing were obtained for the as-milled Pr-containing alloys.

Intensive milling of an alloy with starting composition Pr₉Nd₃Dy₁Fe₇₂Co₈B₆.₉Zr₀.₁ yielded, after subsequent annealing treatment, nearly single-phase magnet powders with a maximum energy product \((BH)_{\text{max}} \sim 140\ \text{kJm}^{-3}\). Co is shown to have a beneficial effect on the intrinsic magnetic properties but also on the microstructure, with a mean grain size of 20 nm. Furthermore, intensive milling has shown to be a very versatile technique to produce high-performance nanocomposite magnets by blending this latter alloy with different fractions of soft magnetic \(\alpha\)-Fe. Addition of 25wt.% \(\alpha\)-Fe led to an optimum combination of magnetic properties with a very high \((BH)_{\text{max}}\) value of 178 kJm\(^{-3}\) due to an effective exchange-coupling between the hard and the soft magnetic phases.

Reversibility measurements of the demagnetisation curves give important information on the magnetisation processes in exchange-coupled magnets. The intergrain interactions between the crystallites of the nanocomposite structure have been analysed in the second part of this study.

Demagnetisation recoil loops of the nanocomposite magnets have shown relatively open minor loops due to the exchange-spring mechanism. Information about the intergrain interactions during demagnetisation can be obtained by plotting the deviation of the demagnetising remanence from the Wohlfarth-model ("\(\delta J\)-plot"). Exchange-coupling phenomena have been studied by analysing the evolution of the corresponding \(\delta J\) values.
when varying (i) the $\alpha$-Fe content, (ii) the annealing temperature, i.e. the grain size and (iii) the measurement temperature. Measurements of the reversible and irreversible changes in polarisation have provided valuable insight into the mechanisms responsible for exchange-coupling in these nanocomposite permanent magnets. Low temperature measurements did not reveal any sign of spin reorientation for these Pr-based nanocomposite magnets. The temperature dependence of the magnetic properties has been studied in the temperature range from -200ºC to 200ºC.

The work concludes showing the possibility of using a mechanically activated gas-solid reaction to obtain an effective grain refined microstructure starting from stoichiometric Nd$_2$(Fe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$)$_{14}$B alloys ($x = 0$, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75 and 1). These compounds have been milled under enhanced hydrogen pressure and temperature leading to their disproportionation into NdH$_{2+\delta}$ and bcc-(Fe,Co) (0 $\leq x \leq$ 0.75) or fcc-Co ($x = 1$). This reactive milling leads to the disproportionation of the thermodynamically very stable Nd$_2$Co$_{14}$B alloy. This reaction is not possible via the conventional hydrogenation disproportionation desorption and recombination (HDDR) process. Grain sizes of recombined Nd$_2$(Fe,Co)$_{14}$B materials were found to be 40-50 nm – approximately an order of magnitude smaller than those of conventional-HDDR processed alloys. The recombined Nd$_2$Co$_{14}$B alloy shows on average slightly smaller grain sizes than the Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B compound. A more effective exchange-coupling leading to enhanced remanences, possibly due to the slightly smaller grain size, has been observed for Nd$_2$Co$_{14}$B powders recombined at 600-700 ºC.
Zusammenfassung


Hochenergetisches Kugelmahlen einer Legierung mit der Anfangszusammensetzung \(\text{Pr}_9\text{Nd}_3\text{Dy}_1\text{Fe}_{72}\text{Co}_8\text{B}_{6.9}\text{Zr}_{0.1}\) führte, nach anschließender Glühbehandlung, zu fast einphasigem Magnetpulver mit einem maximalen Energieprodukt von \((\text{BH})_{\text{max}} \sim 140\,\text{kJm}^{-3}\). Es wird gezeigt, dass \(\text{Co}\) einen positiven Einfluss auf die wesentlichen magnetischen Eigenschaften hat, was u.a. auf die Bildung einer verfeinerten Mikrostruktur mit einer mittleren Korngröße von \(20\,\text{nm}\) zurückzuführen ist. Das hochenergetische Kugelmahlen wurde zu einer sehr vielseitigen Technik zur Herstellung hochleistungsfähiger Nanokompositmagnete weiterentwickelt. Das Zulegieren unterschiedlicher Anteile von weichmagnetischem \(\alpha\)-\(\text{Fe}\) ist damit sehr effektiv möglich. Der Zusatz von 25 Gew.-\% \(\alpha\)-\(\text{Fe}\) führt zu einer optimalen Kombination der magnetischen Eigenschaften und einem sehr hohen \((\text{BH})_{\text{max}}\)-Wert von \(178\,\text{kJm}^{-3}\). Dies wird auf eine sehr effektive Austauschkopplung zwischen den hart- und weichmagnetischen Phasen zurückgeführt.

Die Austauschkopplung zwischen den Kristalliten der Nanokompositstruktur wird im zweiten Teil dieser Arbeit analysiert. Die Reversibilität der Entmagnetisierungskurven

Abschließend zeigt die Arbeit die Möglichkeit der Nutzung einer mechanisch aktivierten Gas-Festkörper-Reaktion auf, mit der eine sehr feinkörnige Mikrostruktur erhalten wird. Die Untersuchungen wurden mit stöchiometrischen Nd2(Fe1-xCox)14B-Legierungen begonnen (x = 0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75 und 1). Die Verbindungen wurden unter höheren Wasserstoffdrücken und Temperaturen gemahlen, wodurch sie zu NdH2,5 und krz-(Fe,Co) (0 ≤ x ≤ 0.75) oder kfz-Co (x = 1) entmischt wurden. Dieses reaktive Mahlen führt damit zu einer Entmischung der thermodynamisch sehr stabilen Nd2Co14B-Legierung. Die Reaktion ist mit einem konventionellen HDDR-Prozess (Hydrogenation Disproportionation Desorption and Recombination) nicht möglich. Die Korngrößen des rekombinierten Nd2(Co,Fe)14B-Materials liegen im Bereich von 40-50 nm und sind etwa um eine Größenordnung kleiner als die mit dem konventionellen HDDR-Prozess erhaltenen. Für die rekombinierte Nd2Co14B-Legierung werden im Durchschnitt etwas kleinere Korngrößen als für die Nd2Fe14B-Legierung beobachtet. Eine erhöhte Remanenz, die möglicherweise durch die effektivere Austauschkopplung der etwas kleineren Körner entsteht, ist für die Nd2Co14B-Pulver beobachtet worden, die bei 600-700 °C rekombiniert worden sind.
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Notation and quantities [units]

\begin{itemize}
  \item \(A\) \hspace{1cm} exchange stiffness [Jm\(^{-1}\)]
  \item \text{at.\%} \hspace{1cm} atomic per cent
  \item \(\alpha'\) \hspace{1cm} relative remanence
  \item \((BH)_{\text{max}}\) \hspace{1cm} maximum energy product [kJm\(^{-3}\)]
  \item \(\chi_{\text{irr}}\) \hspace{1cm} irreversible susceptibility [T\(^{-1}\)]
  \item \(d\) \hspace{1cm} grain size
  \item \(d_c\) \hspace{1cm} critical single-domain particle size [nm]
  \item DSC \hspace{1cm} Differential Scanning Calorimetry
  \item DU \hspace{1cm} die-upsetting
  \item \(\delta_w\) \hspace{1cm} domain wall width [nm]
  \item \(E_a\) \hspace{1cm} anisotropy energy [Jm\(^{-3}\)]
  \item EDX \hspace{1cm} Energy Dispersive X-ray Analysis
  \item \(\varepsilon\) \hspace{1cm} degree of deformation after die upsetting
  \item HDDR \hspace{1cm} Hydrogenation-Disproportionation-Desorption-Recombination
  \item HRTEM \hspace{1cm} High resolution transmission electron microscopy
  \item IM \hspace{1cm} Intensive milling
  \item \(J\) \hspace{1cm} polarisation [T]
  \item \(J_r\) \hspace{1cm} remanence [T]
  \item \(J_r^{(m/d)}\) \hspace{1cm} remanent polarisation during magnetisation (m) or demagnetisation (d) [T]
  \item \(J_{\text{rev}}\) \hspace{1cm} reversible change in polarisation [T]
  \item \(J_s\) \hspace{1cm} saturation polarisation [T]
  \item \(K\) \hspace{1cm} anisotropy constant [Jm\(^{-3}\)]
  \item \(l_{\text{ex}}\) \hspace{1cm} exchange length [nm]
  \item \(m\) \hspace{1cm} magnetic moment [JT\(^{-1}\)]
  \item \(M\) \hspace{1cm} magnetisation [Am\(^{-1}\)]
  \item MS \hspace{1cm} Melt-spinning
  \item \(\mu_0\) \hspace{1cm} permeability of vacuum \([\mu_0 = 4\pi \times 10^{-7} \text{Tm/A}]\)
  \item \(\mu_0H_{\text{appl}}\) \hspace{1cm} applied field [T]
  \item \(\mu_0H_c\) \hspace{1cm} coercivity [T]
\end{itemize}
\( \mu_0H_{no} \)  | nucleation field [T]
---|---
\( \mu_0H_r \)  | remanent coercivity [T]
R  | rare-earth element
SEM  | Scanning Electron Microscopy
\( \sigma \)  | deformation stress [MPa]
T  | transition-metal element
\( T_C \)  | Curie temperature [°C]
TEM  | Transmission Electron Microscopy
TPA  | Temperature Pressure Analysis
\( T_x \)  | onset of crystallisation temperature [°C]
VSM  | Vibrating Sample Magnetometer
wt.%  | weight per cent
XRD  | X-ray diffraction
1 Introduction

A permanent magnet can be defined as a ferromagnetic material which is prepared in a metastable state where it retains some net magnetisation. In this way, a magnet can be considered as an energy-storage device which provides a magnetic field in a particular volume of space. From 1983, when high-performance \( \text{Nd}_2\text{Fe}_{14}\text{B} \)-type magnets were successfully prepared in Japan and the USA, the production of rare-earth (R) magnets, and \( \text{Nd}_2\text{Fe}_{14}\text{B} \)-type magnets in particular, has seen a spectacular growth. The wide range of applications for these magnets, from everyday appliances like loudspeakers to the high-tech applications of the aerospace industry, and new areas of application like magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), have fueled this increase in production. One promising area is the use of permanent magnets in automotive applications, particularly in control systems. The search for optimised compositions and improved processing techniques, which has lead to improved properties and low-cost \( \text{NdFeB} \)-type magnets, has been the challenge facing researchers over recent years.

An effective permanent magnet requires as a starting point adequate intrinsic magnetic properties, but this is not enough to guarantee a high-quality magnet. The interplay between the intrinsic properties and the microstructure will determine the magnetic behaviour of permanent magnets. Depending on the R-content three different prototypes of magnets can be defined (see Fig. 1.1): (a) R-rich magnets constituted by decoupled grains separated by a thin paramagnetic layer giving rise to high coercivities (type I); (b) magnets based on \( \text{R}_2\text{T}_{14}\text{B} \) stoichiometric composition where the grains are exchange-coupled resulting in high remanence values \( J_r \) (type II) and (c) nanocomposite magnets constituted by two different magnetic phases, hard and soft, mutually exchange-coupled (type III). The latter type has attracted much attention in recent years due to the potentially very high \( (BH)_{\text{max}} \) value despite of a relatively low content of hard magnetic phase based on the magnetically single-phase behaviour of these two-phase materials and a remanence enhancement due to the higher saturation polarisation \( J_s \) of the soft magnetic phase and the exchange-coupling effect between the hard and the soft magnetic grains. This effect results in larger remanences than those predicted for systems of isotropically oriented, magnetically uniaxial, non-interacting single-domain particles where the limit \( J_r /
$J_s = 0.5$ can not be exceeded. A phenomenological description of the exchange-coupling effect and a short overview of the technical development of exchange-coupled nanocomposite magnets are presented in Chapter 3.

Fig. 1.1: Prototypes of rare earth permanent magnets with idealised microstructures: (a) Type I: decoupled magnet (shaded area: thin paramagnetic layer); (b) Type II: exchange-coupled single-phase magnet; (c) Type III: exchange-coupled nanocomposite magnet (white regions: hard magnetic grains; dark regions: soft magnetic phase). Arrows indicate the corresponding local easy axis for every grain. The micromagnetic structure originated from the parallel alignment of the magnetic moments in the vicinity of the grain boundaries (exchange-coupling between the grains) is illustrated in (b).

At present Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B-based magnets constitute the most suitable choice for applications at room temperature where highest energy density values are required whereas the more expensive SmCo-based magnets are the material of choice for elevated temperature applications. Chapter 4 begins by describing the main characteristics of the
Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B system and, afterwards, two similar systems are considered: Nd$_2$Co$_{14}$B and Pr$_2$Fe$_{14}$B. The main limitation of Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B is its relatively low Curie temperature which disables high temperature applications because of the rapid decrease of its hard magnetic properties. Substitution of Co for Fe significantly enhances the Curie temperature. At low temperatures, the Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B phase exhibits another disadvantage: a spin reorientation takes place at temperatures lower than 135 K. Contrary to this Pr$_2$Fe$_{14}$B is a very good candidate for low temperature applications since no spin reorientation takes place. Furthermore, the final magnet performance at room temperature for the Pr$_2$Fe$_{14}$B system is comparable to that of NdFeB-based magnets.

Melt-spinning, mechanical alloying and the hydrogenation-disproportionation-desorption-recombination (HDDR) process are three different methods of processing nanocrystalline NdFeB alloys (Chapter 5). The two former methods are used in a first stage of this work to produce highly coercive powders (Chapters 6). Second, it is shown that intensive milling is a very versatile technique to obtain high-quality isotropic nanocomposite powders by blending a Pr-based starting alloy with elementary powders of a soft magnetic $\alpha$-Fe phase (Chapter 7). The evolution of the magnetic properties in dependence on the different Fe fractions is studied, and the achieved energy density and Curie temperature of the optimally processed composition make it an excellent candidate for bonded magnets with the additional advantage of a reduced R-content, i.e. lower cost and improved corrosion resistance. An analysis of magnetisation reversal in these Pr-based nanocomposite magnets has been carried out in order to obtain a better understanding of the intergranular interactions present. These results are compared with those of decoupled magnets (Chapter 8).

The last part of this work (Chapter 9) shows the possibility of producing nanocrystalline isotropic magnets of Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B and Nd$_2$Co$_{14}$B with an enhanced remanence by a mechanically activated gas-solid reaction. This is achieved by using the reactive milling technique which consists of ball milling in enhanced hydrogen pressures and temperatures. The main problem is the high thermodynamical stability of the Nd$_2$Co$_{14}$B parent compound. In the present work the reactive milling technique has been applied to Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B and Nd$_2$Co$_{14}$B alloys.
2 Magnetism of R-T intermetallic compounds

A brief introduction of some important concepts of magnetism is given in the following with the focus on rare-earth (R) transition-metal (T) intermetallic compounds. For more details, the books of Chikazumi (1997) [1] and Cullity (1972) [2] are very useful for a general introduction to magnetism, and the book edited by Coey (1996) [3] presents a complete overview on rare earth-iron permanent magnets. Herbst and Croat (1991) [4] describe the properties of hard magnets with particular reference to NdFeB magnets. A general review on R-T compounds and processing routes is given by Gutfleisch [5].

2.1 Hysteresis loop

The response of a ferromagnetic material to a magnetic field $H$ is represented by its hysteresis loop. This loop is broad for a good permanent magnet which has to resist demagnetisation by external fields and the field created by its own magnetisation. Ideal and typical hysteresis loops for permanent magnets are shown in Fig. 2.1. Significant parameters associated with the loop are the remanence $J_r$ (value of the polarisation when $H = 0$), and the coercivity $H_c$ (reverse field required to reduce the net polarisation to zero – that is, to demagnetise the material). $H_c$ has to be distinguished from the coercivity $BH_c$ (value of field at which $B = 0$). The $J_r$ value is limited by the saturation polarisation, $J_s$, which can be defined as the maximum polarisation value when the material is magnetised by applying an external magnetic field.
2.1 Hysteresis loop

The so called maximum energy product \((BH)_{\text{max}}\) provides a measure of the magnetic energy density that can be stored in the considered material. It is the maximum value of \(B \times H\) on the second quadrant of the \(B-H\) loop (shaded area in Fig. 2.1a) and it can be considered the most important figure of merit to describe the quality of a permanent magnet.

Ideal permanent magnets exhibit a magnetisation curve which is a square loop where the remanence has the largest possible value, \(J_r = J_s\), and the magnetisation remains independent of reverse field up to \(H_c\). If \(\mu_0 H_c\) is larger than \(J_r/2\) the maximum energy product would be \(J_s^2/4\mu_0\) (the magnetic induction is \(B=\mu_0H+J=\mu_0(H+M)\), with \(\mu_0 = 4\pi \times 10^{-7}\) Tm/A, thus giving \(B\) and the polarisation \(J\) in T, and the magnetic field \(H\) and the magnetisation \(M\) in A/m, but \(\mu_0 H\) also in T). In practice \(J_r\) is lower than \(J_s\) because of incomplete particle alignment, the presence of magnetic domains, the density being less than the ideal density of the main magnetic phase and secondary phases.

Fig. 2.1: (a) Hysteresis loop for an ideal permanent magnet: \(B\) (induction) vs. \(H\); \(J\) (polarisation) vs. \(H\). (b) 1st and 2nd quadrants of a typical hysteresis loop, \(J\) vs. \(H\), for a permanent magnet. Numbered circles are schematic representations of magnetic states: 1. isotropic distribution of magnetic moments in the thermally demagnetised state; 2. intermediate state during magnetising; 3. nearly saturated state; 4. remanent polarisation after removing the applied field; 5. and 6. null net polarisation (adapted from Chikazumi [1]. It must be noted that the magnetic state numbered with 3 should be situated at a higher position to be consistent with the representation).
2.2 Magnetic properties

Generally, the magnetic properties of a magnetic material can be grouped as follows:

a) Intrinsic magnetic properties: saturation polarisation, Curie temperature and anisotropy constants as well as quantities derived from them as the anisotropy field. They are determined by magnetic moments and interactions on the atomic scale.

b) Extrinsic magnetic properties: remanence, coercivity and energy product. These are related to the hysteresis loop arising from the actual microstructure.

The electronic structure of the material has to be taken into account to understand these properties and their evolution. In the case of magnetism resulting from localised electrons the atomic magnetic moments and their mutual exchange interaction and their interaction with the atomic lattice must be considered.

2.2.1 Magnetic moments

The field created by a permanent magnet is associated with the motions and interactions of its electrons. These present two different contributions, orbital momentum and spin momentum, and each has a magnetic moment associated with it. Nuclear magnetism is negligible by comparison. The maximum magnetic moment, \( m \), of a single atom in a particular direction is given by

\[
m = g J \mu_B
\]

(2.1)

where \( g \) is the Landé factor (ratio of the magnetic moment to the angular moment), \( \mu_B \) is the Bohr magneton (\( 1 \mu_B = 9.274 \times 10^{-24} \text{ JT}^{-1} \)) and \( J \) is the total angular momentum of the atom. \( J \) is defined as \( J = L \pm S \) where \( L \) is the orbital angular momentum and \( S \) is the spin angular momentum. The sign is determined by Hund’s rules.

If the elementary atomic contributions are summed up in a volume that is large on the atomic scale, a local magnetisation vector can be defined in a mesoscopic description which is not uniform throughout the macroscopic sample. In equilibrium, a ferromagnetic sample would normally not have a net magnetisation because the magnetostatic energy will favour magnetic domains. However, if it is possible to put it into a metastable state where it retains some net magnetisation approximately independent of external magnetic fields, we will have a permanent magnet.
2.2 Magnetic properties

(i) The rare-earth (R) series

The rare-earth series presents an incomplete filling of the 4f shell. The number of electrons in the inner 4f shell varies from 0 to 14 through the series La (atomic number in the periodic table: \( Z = 57 \)) to Lu (\( Z = 71 \)). The total magnetic moment of an R-atom has both orbital and spin components. However the 4f shell is not the outermost shell of the atom. Figure 2.2 illustrates the position of the 4f shell for Nd (\( Z = 60 \)) where the 3 electrons with unpaired spin are localised. The R-R exchange-coupling between two R atoms in a solid is indirect because the direct overlap between the 4f shells of adjacent rare-earth atoms is negligible. The resulting weak magnetic interaction with the 4f electrons of neighbouring atoms, results in low Curie temperatures of the ferromagnetic rare-earth metals; in fact, all of them have a \( T_C \) below room temperature (only Gd has a Curie temperature as high as ambient temperature, \( T_C = 20^\circ \text{C} \)).

![Fig. 2.2: Positions of the unpaired electron shells in Nd and Fe atoms (from Coey [3]).](image)

(ii) The transition-metal (T) elements: Fe, Co and Ni

There are three ferromagnetic transition elements: Fe, Co and Ni. The 3d electrons of these atoms are localised in the outer shell (see Fig. 2.2). Hence in solids the 3d electrons are considerably less localized than the 4f electrons of the rare earths. Therefore the orbital momentum of T atoms or ions is nearly completely removed ("quenched") by the interaction in the solid which, of course, is not rotationally invariant and does not allow the orbital momentum to be a good quantum number. Usually the (spin) magnetism of the T elements in metals and intermetallic compounds is described by delocalised electrons. Fe is
2.2 Magnetic properties

classified as a weak ferromagnet (despite its relatively large moment) because the majority-spin 3d↑ levels are not completely occupied, whereas the other two are strong ferromagnets because there are no 3d↑ holes. Fe is the 3d element with largest spin moment per atom (2.22 \( \mu_B \)) followed by Co (1.72 \( \mu_B \)). In a description of 3d magnetism by localised moments the strong overlap of the 3d wave functions results in a strong direct exchange interaction between these moments and, consequently, they exhibit high Curie temperatures: \( T_C \) (Fe) = 770°C, \( T_C \) (Co) = 1127°C and \( T_C \) (Ni) = 362°C. The 3d spin moment is very sensitive to the chemical environment. It can change substantially in metallic alloys; for example, the moment of Fe, which is approximately dense-packed in the rare-earth intermetallics, is especially sensitive to interatomic spacing and lattice volume in such compounds. However, if an R element is alloyed with another element, there will be a modification of the magnetic anisotropy, but usually the 4f atoms will retain their magnetic moment.

(iii) Rare-earth / transition-metal intermetallics

By combining rare-earth elements with one of the ferromagnetic 3d elements, it is possible to marry the best features of 4f and 3d magnetism. R-R interactions in the rare-earth transition-metal intermetallic compounds are generally quite small by comparison with R-T and T-T interactions, and are often neglected. The R-T interaction is indirect because there is almost no overlap between 4f shells of R atoms and 3d shells of T atoms. The 5d electrons are involved as the intermediary. Then, the coupling between the transition-metal spin and the rare-earth spin, will be different depending on the rare-earth element involved. The 4f-5d interaction is invariably ferromagnetic, but the 5d-3d interaction will be antiferromagnetic when the 5d band is less than half full and the 3d band is more than half full. In this way, the magnetisations of rare-earth and transition-metal sublattices couple parallel for the light rare-earths \( J = L - S \) and antiparallel for the heavy rare-earths \( J = L + S \).

2.2.2 Exchange interactions

The orientation of adjacent local magnetic moments is determined by the exchange interaction between them, which can be expressed in terms of a Heisenberg Hamiltonian
2.2 Magnetic properties

\[ H_{\text{ex}} = -2J_{\text{ex}} S_i \cdot S_j \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.2)

where \( J_{\text{ex}} \) is the exchange integral and \( S_i \) and \( S_j \) are the spins of the two ions i and j. \( J_{\text{ex}} \) is positive for a ferromagnetic interaction (spins coupled parallel) and negative (antiparallel) for an antiferromagnetic interaction between the two ions, and it falls off rapidly with increasing distance between them.

The T-T interaction dominates in an R-T alloy, due to direct overlap of the 3d shells on neighbouring sites. This interaction is described by a Hamiltonian as in (2.2) but now substituting \( S_T \) for \( S \), where \( S_T \) is an effective spin defined for the transition element from its atomic moment in the solid, \( m \):

\[ m = g S_T \mu_B \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.3)

with \( g = 2 \). This is important because the 3d atoms are not ions with an integral number of electrons (the division of the corresponding integral number of (3d + 4s) electrons between the two bands leads to a nonintegral number of electrons in the 3d band, as follows from the electron theory).

In the corresponding Hamiltonian for R-R interaction the contributions from spin and orbit must be considered, so \( J \) will be the quantum number to be used.

It is possible to establish an approximate relation between the exchange integral, \( J_{\text{ex}} \), and the Curie temperature, \( T_C \), using the mean field approximation in combination with Eq. (2.2). In the mean field approximation the exchange interaction, which must be summed up over all pairs of two atoms, is replaced by a molecular field which acts on a single moment and which is generated by all the other moments in the crystal; the spontaneous magnetisation of ferromagnets can be approximated by the Brillouin function. Considering one atom with \( z \) nearest neighbours connected by the interaction \( J_{\text{ex}} \), this gives in combination with (2.1) [3):

\[ T_C = \frac{2z J_{\text{ex}} S(S + 1)}{3k_B} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.4)

where \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann constant. This expression is adapted for 3d metals replacing \( S \) by \( S_T \).

It must be taken into account that a complete description of the magnetisation and the Curie temperature of a R-T intermetallic compound should consider, besides the dominant T-T interaction, the R-T and R-R interactions. This is usually done via the molecular field theory using Brillouin functions to describe the magnetisation of each sublattice ("two
2.2 Magnetic properties

sublattice model’’); the molecular field acting at a T-site or an R-site will be the superposition of contributions from the T and R sublattices. However, equation (2.4) provides a correct $T_C$ value in good approximation, considering only the main T-T interaction.

2.2.3 Anisotropy

In ferromagnetic crystals, certain directions are preferred (easy) directions of magnetisation. For Fe, which has a body-centered cubic structure (bcc), the easy direction of magnetisation is of the type $\langle 100 \rangle$. Co with a hexagonal close-packed structure can be easily magnetised along the c axis ($[0001]$).

![Saturation of bcc-Fe under application of a magnetic field in different crystallographic directions. Saturation can be achieved in lower fields in the [100] direction (’’easy direction’’ of magnetisation); (from Chikazumi [1]).](image)

Fig. 2.3: Saturation of bcc-Fe under application of a magnetic field in different crystallographic directions. Saturation can be achieved in lower fields in the [100] direction (’’easy direction’’ of magnetisation); (from Chikazumi [1]).

The interaction between localised electrons and crystalline electric fields (or crystal fields), which tend to align the spontaneous magnetisation along certain preferred directions in a crystal, is called magnetocrystalline anisotropy. For a field applied along a non-easy direction, a substantial field will be necessary to rotate all the atomic moments out of the easy axis and into the direction of the field, that is, to saturate the magnetisation. This is because the applied field must act against the force of crystal anisotropy, which is
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often fairly strong. Then, a crystal anisotropy energy is stored in a crystal when $J_s$ points into a non-easy direction. The crystal anisotropy is not only based on crystal fields but also on the spin-orbit coupling because the magnetisation is dominated by the spin (of 3d and 4f electrons) and the crystal fields act on the orbital part of the wave functions, only. The anisotropy energy can be defined as the energy required to rotate the magnetisation away from the easy direction. In a material with uniaxial symmetry (e.g., hexagonal cobalt) it may be described by:

$$E_a = K_1 \sin^2 \theta + K_2 \sin^4 \theta + \sigma(\theta^6) \quad (2.5)$$

where $K_1$ and $K_2$ are the anisotropy constants (in first approximation, they can be associated with the work necessary to rotate the direction of magnetisation from the easy direction to that perpendicular to it); $\theta$ is the angle formed by the magnetisation and the easy direction; $\sigma(\theta^6)$ includes all the terms in $\theta$ with power $\geq 6$. The anisotropy energy can be represented by a fictitious magnetic field $H_a$ (anisotropy field), which is parallel to the easy direction and reproduces the energy increase due to small deviations from the easy axis [1]:

$$H_a = \frac{2K_1 + 4K_2}{J_s} \quad (2.6)$$

In structures with one preferred crystallographic direction ($c$ direction), i.e. hexagonal, rhombohedral or tetragonal, $K_1$ usually dominates over the other anisotropy constants. If $K_2$ is neglected in (2.6), $K_1 > 0$ means that $c$ is the magnetically easy direction. This type of anisotropy is called easy-axis or uniaxial anisotropy. In the case of $K_1 < 0$ the anisotropy is said to be of easy-plane type. The anisotropy constants differ for different materials and almost always decrease as the temperature increases and become essentially zero even before the Curie temperature is reached. In R-T intermetallics the contribution of the R sublattice to $K_1$ usually is an order of magnitude larger than that of the T sublattice. Only at high temperatures the T sublattice is dominant because of the rapid decrease of the R sublattice anisotropy with increasing temperature.

2.2.4 Magnetic domains

In thermal equilibrium, a ferromagnetic material consists of magnetic domains [6], and these find their origin in the lower magnetostatic energy, in comparison to a state
where the material has a net magnetisation. In a material with sufficiently large grains, the number and the size of domains in the sample is the result of a balance between the domain wall energy produced by the splitting into domains and the lowering of the magnetostatic exchange energy. The change of the spontaneous magnetisation from a domain to its neighbour, occurs at the domain wall. The spins within the wall ("Bloch wall") are pointing in non-easy directions, so that the anisotropy energy within the wall is higher than in its adjacent domains. The exchange energy, $\gamma_{ex}$, tries to make the wall as wide as possible. In order to make the angle between adjacent spins as small as possible, the anisotropy energy, $\gamma_a$, tries to make the wall thin, i.e. reducing the number of spins pointing in non-easy directions. The result of this competition will be a certain finite width resulting from minimizing the total energy:

$$\gamma = \gamma_{ex} + \gamma_a$$

(2.7)

Figure 2.4 shows, as an example, the particular case of two magnetic domains with antiparallel magnetisations ("180° Bloch wall"). The wall width $\delta_w$ is proportional to the exchange length $l_{ex} = (A/K_1)^{1/2}$ with $A$ being the exchange stiffness ($A \sim 10^{-11}$ Jm$^{-1}$ at room temperature). Another characteristic length is the critical single-domain particle size given by the proportionality: $d_c \sim \mu_0 (A/K_1)^{1/2}/J_s^2$ which describes the size of the largest possible isolated spherical crystallite in which the energy cost for the formation of a domain wall is higher than the gain in magnetostatic energy. Typically, Fe-based R–T permanent magnets
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have a critical single-domain particle size of $d_c = 200-300$ nm [7].

Because in R-T intermetallic compounds the T-T interactions dominate over R-T and R-R interactions, the balance between exchange energy and anisotropy energy, at any position in the wall, may thus be considered by taking the T moments as a reference (corresponding angle from the easy axis). The anisotropy energy for these alloys must include the R anisotropy and exchange interactions in addition to the T anisotropy.

In fine-grained polycrystalline materials with grain sizes sufficiently less than $d_c$ the grains will be single domain and Bloch walls cannot develop. However, due to the magnetic interaction (exchange as well as magnetostatic) across the grain boundaries, competitive magnetic phenomena called interaction domains will occur [6,8-11]. The contrast and the visibility of the interaction domains is increased if the polycrystalline material is well textured [3,12,13]. Figure 2.5 shows, as an example, the Kerr image corresponding to a die-upset melt-spun NdFeB sample.

![Fig. 2.5: Kerr image showing the domains on a die-upset melt-spun NdFeB sample (MQU-F), with the c-axis perpendicular to the imaging plane (courtesy O. Gutleisch).](image)

2.2.5 Origin of coercivity

Once the magnetic domains are aligned along the direction of an applied magnetic field, the formation of reversed domains is necessary in order to demagnetise the material. An upper limit of the coercivity is the anisotropy field $H_a$ (Eq. (2.6)). However, the coercivity values of real materials are much less than the corresponding theoretical maximum values (Brown’s paradox). This is because the formation of reverse domains is a
highly structure-sensitive process affected by the inhomogeneous structure of all real magnets. A plausible description of the coercivity is given by a linear combination of the anisotropy field $H_a$ (2.6) and the saturation polarisation $J_s$:

$$H_c = \alpha \frac{2K_1 + 4K_2}{J_s} - \frac{N_{\text{eff}}}{\mu_0} J_s$$

(2.7)

where $\alpha$ is a temperature dependent microstructural parameter, less than unity, describing the reduction of the crystal field by defects as well as by misaligned grains; $N_{\text{eff}}$ takes into account demagnetising fields [14-17]. Nucleation of reverse domains, originating at imperfections or at irregularities at the grain boundaries, is one of the main factors that reduces the coercivity.

Easy-axis anisotropy is indispensable for maintaining the metastable domain configuration required for a permanent magnet. Modern high performance magnets are, therefore, based on compounds of a magnetic light rare earth (Pr, Nd, Sm) for anisotropy and a 3d element (Fe, Co) for magnetisation and high Curie temperature. The particular rare earth needed for uniaxial anisotropy is determined by the symmetry of the site it occupies in the crystal structure.

As alternatives to the nucleation of reverse domains, the coercivity can also be controlled by the growth of small residual (or nucleated) reverse domains [18] or by pinning of domain walls by inhomogeneities. Formula (2.7) can be used as a rough description of all these coercivity mechanisms. Initial magnetisation curves are very different for nucleation-controlled magnets and pinning-controlled magnets (see Fig. 2.6). Saturation can be achieved at very low applied fields in the former ones due to very mobile domain walls whereas their motion is impeded by the pinning centers for the latter ones. Necessary conditions for having a nucleation-controlled coercivity are a defect-free microstructure and smooth grain boundaries. High coercivity is obtained in this type of magnets because it is difficult to nucleate reverse domains. This can be easily understood considering that the typical grain size of these magnets is much larger than the critical single domain size, so that once a domain wall is created, it will easily run across the grain. Examples of nucleation-controlled permanent magnets are sintered Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B and bonded SmCo$_5$, Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B and Sm$_2$Fe$_{17}$N$_3$ magnets [20-26]. Nucleation of reverse domains can also govern the coercivity in fine-grained materials with a grain size less than the critical
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Fig. 2.6: Hysteresis loop for a permanent magnet material indicating by dashed lines the characteristic initial magnetisation curve for the case of pinning and nucleation mechanisms (from Skomski and Coey [19]).

single domain size diameter [11,27]. The detailed demagnetisation behaviour of such materials will depend on the type and the strength of the magnetic interaction between the small grains. The magnetisation process of a certain class of fine-grained magnets will be discussed in Section 3.3 and Chapter 8.

The pinning mechanism is typical of materials with pronounced nanoscale inhomogeneities. The effectiveness of the pinning will depend on the geometry and spatial distribution of the pinning centers, being necessary a sufficiently high density of such centers not uniformly distributed [28]. The pinning will be most pronounced when the size of the pinning centers is comparable to the domain wall width. In addition sharp boundaries may be very effective pinning centers. Sm$_2$Co$_{17}$-based magnets constitute a good example of this type of magnets where the pinning of the domain walls takes place in the SmCo$_5$ boundary phase [28,29].
3 The exchange-coupling mechanism

A high remanence, together with preserving a high coercivity, is a requirement to achieve maximised energy densities. Highest remanences are usually realised in textured microcrystalline sintered magnets, textured nanocrystalline hot-deformed magnets or textured HDDR powders. In these cases, $J_r$ ideally approaches $J_s$. The remanence limit for isotropic magnets is given by $J_r / J_s \leq 0.5$, considering non-interacting uniaxial single domain particles [30]. An effective way of overcoming this limit is by means of exchange-coupling between neighbouring grains. The effectiveness of the exchange-coupling, i.e. degree of remanence enhancement, depends on the intrinsic magnetic properties including the micromagnetic parameters and the actual microstructure. A simple increase in the remanence, however, does not automatically lead to high energy densities since $\mu_0 H_c \geq J_r / 2$ has to be fulfilled additionally and the shape of the demagnetisation curve must not differ too much from the ideal one discussed in Section 2.1. Remanence enhancement is generally attributed to intergrain exchange interactions between neighbouring grains which causes the spontaneous magnetic polarisation of each individual grain to deviate from its particular easy axis towards the direction of the polarisation of the nearest ones. A schematic representation is shown in Fig. 3.1.

![Fig. 3.1: Exchange-coupling mechanism.](image)
3.1 Models

Different numerical models to describe the exchange-coupling effect in different systems can be found in the literature [31-36]. The corresponding results help to understand the effects of microstructural features on remanence and coercivity. In the following we focus mainly on the conclusions derived from the theoretical treatment presented by Kneller and Hawig [31] and the numerical model from Schrefl et al [33] to describe and predict the magnetic properties of nanocrystalline isotropic NdFeB magnets. The former model focuses on the theoretical treatment of two-phase nanocomposite magnets assuming a one-dimensional model of the microstructure and using the micromagnetic structure as a basis for the calculation of the critical dimensions of the phase regions. The latter model considers (a) a two-dimensional microstructure (with a quantitative treatment of size and shape of the grains), (b) short-range exchange and long-range magnetostatic interactions between the particles and (c) magnetic moments which might be inhomogeneously arranged within a grain (calculation of their distribution at the grain boundary being possible).

3.1.1 Single-phase nanocrystalline magnets

It was in 1983 when Sumitomo [20] and General Motors (GM) [37] announced new permanent magnets based on Nd_2Fe_14B. The Sumitomo magnets were made by sintering of magnetically aligned powder whereas GM used rapid solidification by melt-spinning. Three years after the announcement of NdFeB, Keem and co-workers [38] added small amounts of silicon or aluminium to a NdFeB alloy and reported a significant enhancement in $J_r$, substantially above the Stoner-Wohlfarth limit, despite the isotropic structure of the melt-spun alloy. This effect was ascribed to a refinement of the mean grain size to a value of about 20 nm. Clemente et al [39] used the melt-spinning technique to fabricate flakes composed of isotropically oriented microcrystallites of the Nd_2Fe_14B-type phase, which exhibited enhanced remanences. They proposed that this phenomenon arises from an intergranular magnetic exchange interaction. Manaf et al [40,41] demonstrated that, as the mean grain size is refined below ~35 nm, the remanence is progressively enhanced and the coercivity is reduced. They also demonstrated that similar degrees of remanence
enhancement to those obtained by Si or Al additions could be achieved in ternary NdFeB by controlling the quenching parameters.

The first important result, in good agreement with experimental data, is that the remanence of randomly oriented nanocrystalline particles which are strongly coupled by exchange interactions increases with decreasing grain size. However, exchange-coupling of neighbouring grains favors the nucleation of reversed domains leading to a reduction in coercivity.

The deviation of the magnetic moments from the local easy axis near grain boundaries determines remanence and coercivity of nanocrystalline permanent magnets. A smooth transition of the local \( J_s \) from one easy axis direction to the other takes place over a characteristic length resulting from a compensation between the magnetocrystalline anisotropy and the exchange interaction (see Section 2.2.3). In the ideal case of “clean” grain boundaries (without any additional phase), the width of these regions where the spins deviate from the easy axis can be compared with the domain wall width which can be written in first approximation as \( \delta_{m} \approx \pi (A/K_1)^{1/2} \), where \( A \) is the exchange stiffness constant and \( K_1 \) is the first magnetocrystalline anisotropy constant. A significant number of

![Fig. 3.2: Exchange-coupling effect between neighbouring grains in the remanent state. The arrows indicate the directions of the easy axes and the shaded areas the deviations of the magnetic moments from those ones by more than 20° (darkest region) and 10°; (from Schrefl et al [33]).](image)
magnetic moments deviating from the easy axis is necessary to have a high degree of remanence enhancement. This situation is schematically illustrated in Fig. 3.2 where the remanent state of an ideal nanostructure with grain sizes of 20 nm can be observed. The shaded areas represent the regions where the magnetic moments deviate from the local easy axis by more than 20° (darkest regions) and 10°, respectively. Smaller grains will result in a more effective exchange-coupling, i.e. enlarged remanence by comparison with that of non-interacting particles.

A mean grain size < 20 nm and a homogeneous microstructure, with a very small range in grain size, were established as requirements to obtain an enhanced remanence preserving a high coercivity in NdFeB based magnets [33,42].

3.1.2 Two-phase nanocomposite magnets

In 1988, Coehoorn et al [43] reported for the first time that two-phase nanocomposite magnets, containing 85% soft magnetic phases (73% Fe₃B and 12% bcc-Fe) and 15% hard phase (Nd₂Fe₁₄B), could be prepared by crystallisation of amorphous melt-spun alloys with approximate compositions of Nd₄Fe₇₈B₁₈ having remarkable permanent magnet properties ($\mu_0H_c \approx 0.4$ T, $(BH)_{max} = 95$ kJm⁻³). Eckert et al [44] showed that it is the interaction between the soft and the hard magnetic phases which results in the specific hysteresis loop and the very steep recoil loops [45]. These permanent magnets consist of two different ferromagnetic and mutually exchange-coupled phases, one of which is hard magnetic to provide a high coercive field, while the other is soft magnetic providing a high saturation polarisation.

One of the main results derived from the models is that a small enough grain size improves both remanence and coercivity of isotropic two-phase magnets. Too large grains will result in a non-effective exchange-coupling of the soft magnetic phase due to a short range of the exchange interactions unable of aligning completely the magnetic moments of the grains of the soft phase. However, too small grains could result in a drop in coercivity due to exchange interactions between hard magnetic grains. Thus, the aim is to develop a nanostructure consisting of small enough grains to increase the remanence while preserving a high coercive field, which will result in remarkable high-energy products. Numerical calculations show an effective exchange hardening of the soft magnetic phase when the corresponding grains are about twice the domain wall width of the hard phase.
This can be easily understood when considering the schematic one-dimensional model proposed by Kneller and Hawig [31] (Fig. 3.3): the initial state represents the saturation remanence where all the magnetic moments are considered to remain aligned along one direction after removal of the applied magnetic field necessary to achieve the saturated state, and the following equilibrium states correspond to the demagnetisation of the sample in an increasing reverse field $H$. An optimum grain size of the soft phase of about $2\delta_w$ of the hard phase will yield that the exchange fields from nearest neighbouring hard grains situated at both sides fully cover the soft grain resulting in an effective exchange hardening (alignment of the magnetic moments) in the soft grain.

\[ J_r^d(H_m) = J_r - 2J_r^m(H_m) \]  

(3.1)

Fig. 3.3: Schematic one-dimensional model of the micromagnetic structure of an exchange-coupled nanocomposite material (adapted from Kneller and Hawig [31]).

According to numerical calculations, two-phase $\alpha$-Fe/Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B magnets with a grain size of 20 nm would exhibit a maximum energy product of about 350 kJm$^{-3}$ for a volume fraction of the soft magnetic phase of 40% [33].
3.2 Wohlfarth’s relation and Henkel plot

can help to clarify the nature of the magnetisation processes. $J_r^d(H_m)$ is the remanence after application of a reverse field, $-H_m$, to the saturated sample; $J_r$ is the remanence of the material, measured after saturation ($H_m \to \infty$); $J_r^m(H_m)$ is the remanence after application and removal of the maximum field $H_m$ to a thermally demagnetised sample. Measurements of these quantities are shown in Fig. 3.4 for a typical exchange-coupled two-phase nanocomposite magnet.

![Graph showing first magnetisation and demagnetisation curves for an exchange-coupled two-phase composite magnet (Fe<sub>3</sub>B / Nd<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>14</sub>B); (adapted from Schneider et al [45]).](image)

*Fig. 3.4: First magnetisation and demagnetisation curves for an exchange-coupled two-phase composite magnet (Fe<sub>3</sub>B / Nd<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>14</sub>B); (adapted from Schneider et al [45]).*

However, some important requirements must be considered for the validity of Wohlfarth’s relation: the system must consist of an assembly of non-interacting magnetically uniaxial single-domain particles and the sample must be initially in the thermally demagnetised state. Thus, a plot of $J_r^m(H_m)/J_r$ vs. $J_r^d(H_m)/J_r$ would result in a straight line as that shown in Fig. 3.5 for a system where the validity requirements are verified. This is the so-called “Henkel-plot” [47] which is a simple and elegant way of presenting Wohlfarth’s relation independent of the applied magnetic field. However, exchange-coupling implies interactions between particles and, as a consequence, a deviation from that linear behaviour as it can be seen in Fig. 3.5.
3.3 Magnetisation reversal processes in nanocomposite magnets

The generally accepted mechanism of magnetisation reversal in fine grained two-phase magnets [31] can be summarised as follows: under the action of a reverse field the magnetisation vector of the soft magnetic phase rotates towards the field until it becomes energetically unfavourable to support the non-uniform magnetisation at the interface. At this point the magnetisation of the hard magnetic phase undergoes an irreversible change. The critical field for reversal of the hard phase is significantly higher than the coercivity of the uncoupled soft phase, but less than that of the hard phase and exhibits a strong dependence on the scale of the microstructure.

3.3.1 Recoil loops and “exchange-spring” magnet behaviour

In this work decoupled, exchange-coupled nearly single-phase and exchange-coupled nanocomposite magnets will be studied. An analysis of the inner loops recorded during the demagnetisation process by the application of reverse fields $-H_m$ (recoil loops) can give information about the processes involved. Decoupled magnets and single-phase exchange-coupled magnets exhibit completely closed recoil loops whereas those of composite magnets are relatively open (see Fig. 3.4). The explanation can be found by looking at one of the intermediate micromagnetic states shown in Fig. 3.3: for an optimum size of the soft
magnetic grains, the application and removal of a certain applied reversed field smaller than the critical field described above will bring the system back to the state where all the magnetic moments are aligned due to the exchange-coupling effect between the soft and the hard grains. This reversible rotation of the exchange coupled soft phase for fields not large enough to reverse the magnetisation of the hard magnetic phase was discovered by Schneider et al [45] and termed “exchange-spring” mechanism by Kneller and Hawig [31]. General criteria for the presence of the “exchange-spring” mechanism are:
(a) although there are two different magnetic phases, the major demagnetisation curve shows a magnetically single-phase behaviour;
(b) the ratio of remanence to saturation polarisation is higher than 0.5;
(c) the recoil curves show a relatively high degree of reversibility in fields below coercivity (“high recoil permeability”).

3.3.2 Intergrain interactions: $\delta J$-plot

The interactions between the grains can also be studied by plotting the quantity $\delta J$ defined as: $\delta J = (J_{r}^{d}(H_{m}) - (J_{r} - 2J_{r}(H_{m}))) / J_{r}$ (also known as $\delta J$- or $\delta M$-plot which is a graphical presentation of Wohlfarth’s relation (3.1) in dependence on the applied magnetic field). This relationship gives the deviations from the theoretical value for $J_{d}(H_{m})$ given by Wohlfarth’s relation [46]. Two kinds of interactions can be responsible for the deviation from this latter relationship: magnetostatic and exchange interactions. However, different interpretations can be found in the literature concerning the sign of $\delta J$ [24,45,48-54]. In this study the working hypothesis of Ding et al [52], that positive values of $\delta J$ are indicative of predominant magnetostatic interactions whereas negative ones indicate the main role played by the exchange interactions in two-phase nanocomposite magnets, could be supported by analysing numerous experimental data.

3.3.3 Nucleation field

In permanent magnets based on rare-earth transition-metal compounds, the value of the coercive field deviates considerably from the predictions of the conventional Stoner/ Wohlfarth theory. This discrepancy is normally ascribed as Brown’s paradox and attributed to microstructural effects. For an ideal microstructure, where nucleation of reverse
domains does not take place, coercivity equals the nucleation field, $H_{no}$. Thus, the nucleation field can be defined, considering as initial state that of initial saturation remanence [31], as the reversal field required to make the domain wall invade the hard phase. In other words, an applied field $H \geq H_{no}$ will lead to irreversible polarisation reversal. Reversible polarisation values, $J_{rev}$, can be obtained from the recoil loops. This quantity is defined as the difference in polarisation obtained when traversing the recoil curve, starting from a point on the demagnetisation curve for a given field and terminating on the recoil curve at zero field. The irreversible polarisation is defined as $J_{irr} = \left[ J_r - J_{rev} \right] / 2$. According to the model of Kneller and Hawig [31], the maximum of the derivative $dm_{irr} / dH$ (where $m_{irr} = J_{irr} / J_r$) would correspond to the nucleation field, $H_{no}$, for irreversible polarisation. This derivative will be termed for abbreviation irreversible susceptibility, $\chi_{irr}(H)$, (although it has unit of $T^{-1}$) following the notation used by McCormick et al. [55]. Non-simultaneous switching of the hard and the soft magnetic phases leads to differences between the coercivity and the nucleation field.

### 3.4 Development of exchange-coupled nanocrystalline magnets

In the last decade a lot of studies have been focused on the development of two-phase or multiphase magnetic materials. These materials present two clear advantages compared to common isotropic (i.e. non-textured) hard magnetic materials: a higher saturation polarisation and a lower rare-earth content which decreases the cost of the magnet. The presence of the soft magnetic phase decreases the coercive field but enhances the remanence with respect to the single hard magnetic phase. Thus, it is expected that, by optimising the contents of the two phases as well as the microstructure, improved values of the energy product can be achieved.

Coehoorn et al. [43] reported a considerably increased remanence of melt-spun permanent magnets of nominal composition containing a substantial fraction of Fe$_3$B grains.

The use of specific additives to improve the magnetic properties has been the aim of several studies. Keem and co-workers [38] observed substantial remanence enhancement in the stoichiometric Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B by adding Si, due to a more refined microstructure.
Hirosawa et al [56] obtained the critical dimension of about 10 nm for a mutually coupled Fe$_3$B/Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B mixture with melt-spun (Nd,Dy)$_x$Fe$_{80.5-3y}$Co$_y$B$_{18.5}$M$_1$ (3 ≤ x ≤ 5 and 0 ≤ y ≤ 5) alloys where M represents additives (Al, Si, Cu, Ga, Ag, Au) used to reduce the grain size. In this way, a coercivity of 0.6 T and a remanence of 0.98 T were obtained in Nd$_3$Dy$_2$Fe$_{70.5}$Co$_5$Ga$_1$B$_{18.5}$. Ping et al [57] argued that Co and Ga atoms control the grain growth of Fe$_3$B precipitates and contribute to the formation of the Fe$_3$B/Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B nanocomposite with ultrafine grains, which leads to a high coercivity and an improved maximum energy product.

Uehara et al [58] explained how the addition of Cr contributes to the magnetic properties of these nanocomposite magnets produced by melt-spinning, providing a high coercivity. This is in good agreement with a previous study performed by Hirosawa and Kanekiyo [59] on Fe/Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B nanocomposite magnets produced from melt-spun Nd-Fe-B-Co-Cr alloys with low-Nd and high-B concentration; they obtained magnetic properties between $(J_r, \mu_0 H_c) = (1.20 \text{ T}, 0.43 \text{ T})$ and $(0.86 \text{ T}, 0.77 \text{ T})$, the large coercivity being due to a refinement of microstructure and an increase in the volume fraction of the hard magnetic Nd$_2$(Fe,Cr)$_{14}$B phase produced by the partial replacement of Fe by Cr. Bauer et al [60] studied the relationship between the magnetic properties and the Fe/Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B volume ratio, finding that a composite magnet containing 30 vol% bcc-Fe exhibits a maximum remanence of $J_r = 1.25 \text{ T}$ and an energy product of $(BH)_{max} = 185 \text{ kJ m}^{-3}$. Melsheimer et al [61] compared the magnetic properties of three different Nd-Fe-Co-B magnets produced also by the melt-spinning procedure: a nanocrystalline exchange-coupled Nd$_2$(Fe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$)$_{14}$B single-phase magnet, Nd$_2$(Fe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$)$_{14}$B + (Fe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$) composite magnets and a decoupled Nd$_2$(Fe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$)$_{14}$B magnet with an overstoichiometric Nd content. As it was expected, they observed an enhanced remanence and a decrease in coercivity for both exchange-coupled magnets in comparison to the decoupled material. They obtained a uniform grain size (22-25 nm) for the single phase magnet, and a mixture of very small grains of a soft magnetic phase (< 20 nm) and small hard magnetic grains (≈ 35 nm) for the composite magnet. The single-phase and the composite magnets exhibited maximum energy products of up to 175 kJm$^{-3}$, at $T = 300 \text{ K}$, whereas only 93 kJm$^{-3}$ was obtained for the decoupled magnet. In Fig. 3.6, hysteresis loops of a decoupled and an exchange-coupled magnet with compositions Nd$_{15}$(Fe$_{57.5}$Co$_{18.5}$)B$_9$ and Nd$_{12}$(Fe$_{62}$Co$_{20}$)B$_6$, respectively, are reproduced from that work.
3.4 Development of exchange-coupled nanocrystalline magnets

In a study about nanocomposites of Fe/Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B produced by mechanical alloying, Crespo et al [62] reported values of $\mu_0H_c \approx 0.37$ T and $(BH)_{\text{max}} \approx 92$ kJm$^{-3}$ for Nd$_8$Fe$_{88}$B$_4$-based samples after optimum heat treatment, exhibiting average grain sizes of 33 nm and 28 nm for Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B and bcc-Fe, respectively, whereas the addition of Zr (or Si) results in a refinement of the microstructure with average grain sizes of 20 nm (21 nm) and 19 nm (21 nm) for Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B and bcc-Fe, respectively. This leads to improved magnetic properties: $\mu_0H_c \approx 0.44$ T and $J_r \approx 1.03$ T with Zr addition, and $\mu_0H_c \approx 0.40$ T and $J_r \approx 1.06$ T with Si addition (both of them after heat treatment at $T = 650^\circ$C). Neu et al [63] performed a study about nanocrystalline single-phase Nd-Fe-B powders produced by intensive milling and heat treatment, obtaining a remanence of $J_r = 0.92$ T and a maximum energy product of $(BH)_{\text{max}} = 132$ kJm$^{-3}$ for a microstructure with an average grain size of about 30 nm. Further Co addition improved the remanence to $J_r = 0.95$ T, and the energy density to $(BH)_{\text{max}} = 140$ kJm$^{-3}$, due to a smaller grain size of 21 nm.

Recently, several experimental studies have focused on optimising the mean grain size of the soft magnetic phase in order to achieve full exchange-coupling by the hard phase [16,64-66]. Goll et al [16] obtained a remanence of $J_r = 1.17$ T and a maximum energy product of $(BH)_{\text{max}} = 180$ kJm$^{-3}$ in a nanocomposite Pr$_2$Fe$_{14}$B-based magnet containing 30 vol% $\alpha$-Fe produced by melt-spinning. The average grain sizes of the hard and the soft magnetic grains were about 20-30 nm and 15 nm, respectively.

Fig. 3.6: Hysteresis loops of a decoupled (squares) and an exchange-coupled (circles) magnet (from Melsheimer et al [61]).
3.4 Development of exchange-coupled nanocrystalline magnets

Nanocrystalline SmCo-based magnets have been widely studied since both SmCo$_5$ and Sm$_2$Co$_{17}$ have higher Curie temperatures than other rare-earth transition-metal compounds used for permanent magnets and have many potential high-temperature applications [67-70]. Yan et al [71,72] have performed a systematic study of exchange-coupled nanocomposite SmCo$_5$ / Sm$_2$Co$_{17}$ powders synthesised by intensive milling and subsequent annealing of as-cast alloy. A high coercivity of 2.40 T and a relative remanence $J_r / J_s = 0.73$ were obtained for a sample containing 40% SmCo$_5$ / 60% Sm$_2$(Co$_{0.74}$Fe$_{0.1}$Cu$_{0.12}$Zr$_{0.04}$)$_{17}$ [72].
4 Systems under investigation

4.1 NdFeB

The stoichiometric composition of the magnetically highly anisotropic Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B compound is Nd$_{11.8}$Fe$_{82.3}$B$_{5.9}$. The lattice symmetry is tetragonal (space group P4$_2$/mmm) with 68 atoms per unit cell. There are six crystallographically distinct transition metal sites, two different rare-earth positions, and one boron position (Fig. 4.1). Magnetisation curves measured at 300 K along different crystallographic directions show that the easy magnetisation direction is the c axis (right hand side in Fig. 4.1).

![Fig. 4.1: (Left) tetragonal unit cell of the Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B structure (from Herbst et al. [73]); (right) magnetisation curves for single-crystal Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B at T = 300 K (from Chikazumi [1]).](image)

The intrinsic magnetic properties of Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B are: $\mu_0H_a = 6.7$ T, $J_s = 1.60$ T and $T_C = 312^\circ$C [37,74]. Thus, the upper limit of $(BH)_{max}$ is 516 kJ m$^{-3}$.

Figure 4.2 shows the liquidus projection of the ternary Nd-Fe-B system. There are three stable ternary phases: Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B (ϕ), Nd$_{1+x}$Fe$_4$B$_4$ (η) and Nd$_3$Fe$_2$B$_6$ (ρ). The peritectic formation of the ϕ phase takes place at 1180°C but it will not be complete in a standard casting process because here γ-Fe is the primary solidification product.
4.1 NdFeB

Fig. 4.2: Liquidus projection of the Nd-Fe-B system. Important reactions are $p_\gamma$ (1180°C: $L + \gamma$-Fe $\rightarrow$ $\phi$), $U_3$ (1130°C: $L + \gamma$-Fe $\rightarrow$ $\phi + \psi$(Nd$_2$Fe$_{17}$)), $e_4$ (1115°C: $L \rightarrow \eta + \phi$), $E_1$ (1105°C: $L \rightarrow \phi + \gamma$-Fe + Fe$_2$B) and $E_2$ (1095°C: $L \rightarrow \eta + \phi + Fe_2B$); (from Knoch et al [74] and Gutfleisch [7]).

The operation temperature for Nd-Fe-B-based magnets is limited by the relatively low Curie temperature, but in addition another limit is found at low temperatures: below 135 K a spin reorientation transition takes place, which basically consists in the development of a magnetisation component perpendicular to the local axis in the Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B grains [75-77]. This results in a dip in the demagnetisation curve deteriorating significantly the magnetic properties. Figure 4.3 shows this effect for an isotropic sintered NdFeB-based magnet.

Fig. 4.3: Demagnetisation curves of isotropic sintered Nd$_{16}$Fe$_{78}$B$_6$ magnet for different values of temperature (from Handstein et al [77]).
4.2 NdCoB

The tetragonal structure of Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B exists over the whole range of the Nd$_2$(Fe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$)$_{14}$B composition ($0 \leq x \leq 1$), but there is a decrease in the lattice constants with increasing Co content [78-80]. The decrease in $c$ is relatively large compared to that of $a$.

The substitution of Co by Fe in Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B, solves the main limitation of this compound: its relatively low Curie temperature. $T_C$ increases with $x$ because the Co-Co exchange interaction is stronger than the Co-Fe or the Fe-Fe interactions and reaches $T_C \approx 720^\circ$C for Nd$_2$Co$_{14}$B. The detailed variation of the Curie temperature with Co concentration depends on the distribution of the Co ions in the lattice. This substitution results in a decrease of the spontaneous magnetisation due to the lower value of the Co moment. However, a slight increase in the saturation polarisation has been observed for small amounts of Co replacing Fe (up to $x \approx 0.2$ in Nd$_2$(Fe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$)$_{14}$B) [81]. This can be ascribed to the sites occupied by Co ions in the lattice. The results corresponding to the total magnetic moments at $T = 77$ and 295 K determined by Fuerst et al [81] are reproduced in Table 4.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>x: Co-cont.</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0.1</th>
<th>0.3</th>
<th>0.5</th>
<th>0.7</th>
<th>0.9</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$m_{sat}$ (77K)</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>26.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_{sat}$ (295K)</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>23.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 4.1: Saturation moments $m_{sat}$ ($\mu_B / f.u.$) at $T=77$ and 295 K for Nd$_2$(Fe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$)$_{14}$B alloys (from Fuerst et al [81]).*

A detailed study of the site occupancy preferences in Nd$_2$(Fe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$)$_{14}$B was performed by Herbst and Yelon [73]. They observed that the moment on the site $j_2$ is the largest for each material, with the exception of Nd$_2$Co$_{14}$B. They determined that $k_1$, $k_2$, $j_1$ and $c$ sites are populated nearly randomly while the $j_2$ site has a strong preference for occupation by Fe and the $e$ site favors Co occupation although not as strongly as in the case of the $j_2$ site and Fe. However, Girgis et al [80] observed that Co atoms are more probably statistically distributed on the iron positions for low Co concentrations. This
would explain the higher saturation moment exhibited for low Co contents, in comparison with Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B.

The substitution of Fe by Co has a small effect on the magnetocrystalline anisotropy because the main contribution is from the rare-earth sublattice, but it is a negative effect having a decrease of $H_a$ with Co addition. This effect was expected from the shrinkage of the lattice mentioned previously, i.e. of the decrease of the easy $c$ axis. Figure 4.3 shows the decrease of the anisotropy field with increasing temperature of Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B and Nd$_2$Co$_{14}$B. It can be seen that the decrease of the latter is faster, reflecting the weaker R-Co interactions.

![Graph showing temperature dependence of anisotropy field](image)

*Fig. 4.3: The temperature dependence of the anisotropy field in Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B and Nd$_2$Co$_{14}$B (adapted from Coey [3]).*

In conclusion, addition of Co constitutes an effective way of improving $T_C$, but a too high Co-content leads to a detriment of the magnetic properties besides an increase in the final cost of the magnet due to the higher Co price.

4.3 PrFeB

The Pr$_2$Fe$_{14}$B compound also exhibits a tetragonal structure. The Pr-Fe-B phase diagram is very similar to that of Nd-Fe-B as it can be observed in Fig. 4.4. In terms of intrinsic magnetic properties, Pr$_2$Fe$_{14}$B has a slightly lower saturation polarisation value ($J_s = 1.56$ T) and a lower Curie temperature ($T_C = 297°C$) than Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B [83]. However,
4.3 PrFeB

Fig. 4.4: Liquidus projection of the Pr-Fe-B system. Important reactions are $p_1$ ($1125^\circ$C: $L + \gamma\text{-Fe} \rightarrow \phi$), $U_1$ ($1355^\circ$C: $L + \gamma\text{-Fe} \rightarrow \phi + \psi(\text{Pr}_2\text{Fe}_{17})$) and $e_1$ ($1090^\circ$C: $L \rightarrow \eta + \phi$); (from Neiva et al [82]).

the Pr-compound presents two important advantages: the higher anisotropy field ($\mu_0H_a = 8.7$ T) and no spin reorientation at low temperatures. The first advantage can be very useful to enhance the coercivity at the expense of the remanence, and the second one makes PrFeB-based magnets very attractive for low temperature applications, e.g. in conjunction with superconductors, where a high performance is required. Figure 4.5 shows the demagnetisation curves for a PrFeB-based magnet at low temperatures.

Fig. 4.5: Room temperature and low temperature demagnetisation curves for a PrFeB-based magnet processed by the intensive milling technique.
In addition to the mentioned advantages, at present, Pr is cheaper than Nd (about 2/3 of the price) resulting in lower costs of the final magnet.

Table 4.2 summarises the intrinsic magnetic properties and important microstructural parameters of Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B and Pr$_2$Fe$_{14}$B and, for comparison, $\alpha$-Fe. Figure 4.6 shows the evolution of the domain wall width, $\delta_w$, with temperature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>$T_C$ (K)</th>
<th>$\mu_0H_a$ (T)</th>
<th>$K_1$ (MJm$^{-3}$)</th>
<th>$J_s$ (T)</th>
<th>$\delta_w$ (nm)</th>
<th>$d_c$ ($\mu$m)</th>
<th>reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nd$<em>2$Fe$</em>{14}$B</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>[20,37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr$<em>2$Fe$</em>{14}$B</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>$\sim$4</td>
<td>$\sim$0.3</td>
<td>[16,83]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$-Fe</td>
<td>1043</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[84]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.2: Intrinsic magnetic properties (Curie temperature $T_C$, anisotropy field $H_a = (2K_1 + 4K_2)/J_s$, anisotropy constant $K_1$, saturation polarisation $J_s$), domain wall width $\delta_w$ and critical single-domain particle size for magnetically uniaxial materials $d_c = 72\mu_0(AK_1)^{1/2} / J_s^2$ of Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B and Pr$_2$Fe$_{14}$B. $\alpha$-Fe has been added for comparison.

Fig. 4.6: Calculated domain wall width $\delta_w$ in Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B, Pr$_2$Fe$_{14}$B and Dy$_2$Fe$_{14}$B (from Givord and Rossignol [18]).
5 Experimental details

The different techniques used in the present work will be shortly explained in this Section. Additionally, specific experimental details will be given. The first part will focus on three different powder processing techniques: melt-spinning, high energy ball milling and reactive milling in hydrogen. The resulting magnets will be isotropic due to a randomly oriented grain structure. The hot deformation process will be shown as a way of producing highly dense anisotropic magnets. Characterisation of the materials after the different processing steps is fundamental in order to evaluate the efficiency of the chosen parameters during the experiment and, at the end, the quality of the resulting magnet. The employed techniques have been grouped into, first, those related to structural characterisation and, second, those ones necessary for characterisation of magnetic properties.

5.1 Nanocrystalline powder processing

In the following a brief summary of the different methods of processing alloys into particles with submicron or smaller grains is given. The aim of these processes is to create, at the end, a microstructure consisting of crystallites which are in size equal to or even significantly smaller than the corresponding single-domain size and, therefore, can resist effectively the formation and propagation of domain walls on reversal magnetisation and/or can show an effective exchange-coupling. “Nanocrystalline” is usually defined by grain sizes ≤ 50 nm. In the following this term will be used for a broader range in grain sizes (< 500 µm).

5.1.1 Melt-spinning

Melt-spinning is one of the principal fabrication routes for magnetic materials [37]. It involves ejection of a molten starting alloy through a crucible orifice onto the surface of a rapidly rotating copper wheel, to form a fine ribbon. The ribbon is either amorphous (over-quenching) or is composed of many randomly oriented nanocrystallites (direct-quenching) [85-88]. The process is carried out in an inert atmosphere (usually argon) because the rare-
earth are chemically very reactive. The high thermal conductivity of copper and the high surface velocity of the wheel, \( v_s \), are responsible for the high cooling or quench rate (about 10^6 K/s) attainable by this technique, and it can be varied by changing \( v_s \) which, in a first approximation, is directly proportional to the cooling rate. There is a strong dependence of the coercivity on the wheel speed. The melt-spun ribbon is magnetically isotropic and forms the basis of a range of commercial magnets (so-called “MQ-powder: MQ1”). There are various production routes as, for example, hot-pressing (dense isotropic magnets: MQ2) with subsequent die-upsetting or backward extrusion (dense anisotropic magnets: MQ3) and polymer resin bonding techniques [89-93]. A more detailed explanation about the hot deformation process will be given in Section 5.1.4.

Ribbon flakes in this study were prepared changing the parameters (\( v_s = 30 - 40 \text{ ms}^{-1} \)) according to the composition to obtain a partly amorphous structure. Heat treatment of the flakes was carried out at temperatures between 600 and 800 °C for 15 min under vacuum at pressures lower than 10^{-4} mbar.

5.1.2 High energy ball milling

Mechanical alloying was developed in the 1970s as a technique for dispersing nanosized oxide inclusions into nickel based alloy powders [94]. Yeh et al [95] were the first demonstrating an amorphisation by solid-state reaction using diffusion for the reaction of hydrogen with crystalline ZrRh. Schwarz and Johnson [96] established the possibility of applying this method to metallic systems. Schultz et al [97] demonstrated for the first time that Nd-Fe-B magnets could also be produced by mechanically alloying Nd, Fe and B and annealing the amorphous phase. During the last twenty years mechanical alloying has been widely used in laboratories to prepare a variety of alloy powders because of its versatility. It is performed in a high-energy ball mill, under argon, starting with powders of the constituent elements. When the balls collide, the powder particles are trapped, being heavily deformed and welded. In the beginning, powder with a layered microstructure is produced with non-deformable powder particles embedded in the welded interfaces. In the case of Nd-Fe-B powder, the layered microstructure will be formed of Fe and Nd with the submicron undeformed B particles embedded in the Fe/Nd interfaces [98]. It is necessary
to apply a subsequent heat treatment to form the hard magnetic phase. The reaction begins at relatively low temperatures and takes place with short reaction times at higher temperatures (typically 15-30 min at 700°C), because of the extremely fine distribution of the reactants in the milled material. The magnetically isotropic particles have a very fine microstructure comparable to those obtained by melt-spinning. Mechanically alloyed powders can also be used for hot pressing and subsequent die upsetting (see Section 5.1.4 for more details) [91,99,100].

There is a significant difference between this method and the intensive milling method (used in the present work): in the latter, the hard magnetic compound is already used as the starting material; the powder is produced by milling the homogenised ingot in order to produce amorphous or nanocrystalline alloy.

In the milling process, several factors must be taken into account such as the ball to powder weight ratio, milling time and milling intensity [98].

Subsequent heat treatment of the as-milled powders is necessary in order to reform the R₂T₁₄B hard magnetic phase.

In this work, the starting alloys were crushed to < 250 µm and then milled in a planetary ball mill (Retsch PM4000) using a hardened stainless steel container and 10 mm stainless steel balls. The ball to powder weight ratio was 20:1 with 20 g of material milled at one time, and the angular speed of the mill was chosen to be 300 rpm. The vials were previously sealed under an argon atmosphere. Heat treatment of the as-milled powders was carried out at temperatures between 500 and 800 °C for 30 min under vacuum at pressures lower than 10⁻⁴ mbar.

The oxygen content of the milled and milled-and-annealed powders was determined with a Leco gas analyser using the hot-extraction method.

### 5.1.3 Reactive milling in hydrogen

This new process, developed recently [101,102] and used in the present work, tries to marry the advantages of the intensive milling with those of the hydrogenation disproportionation desorption and recombination (HDDR) process by making use of mechanically activated gas-solid reactions.
The HDDR process utilizes a reversible hydrogen induced phase transformation which yields highly coercive NdFeB- and SmFe-type powders, very suitable for the production of both bonded and fully dense hot pressed permanent magnets [103-105]. In the case of Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B, according to equation (5.1) the conventional-HDDR process consists of two stages: firstly, the disproportionation into a finely divided mixture of neodymium hydride, iron and ferroboron typically at 800°C and $10^5$ Pa hydrogen and secondly, the desorption at a similar temperature leading to the recombination of the original Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B phase, but now with a much refined grain size.

\[
Nd_2Fe_{14}B + (2 \pm \delta)H_2 \Leftrightarrow 2NdH_{2+\delta} + 12Fe + Fe_2B \pm \Delta H \quad (5.1)
\]

The value of $\delta$ depends on temperature and hydrogen pressure and $\Delta H$ is the reaction enthalpy. The reactive milling technique applied in this work is shown schematically in Fig. 5.1. It is performed in the same way as the intensive milling technique but now argon is substituted by hydrogen at high pressure and the milling is performed at enhanced temperature. The milling creates new surfaces to absorb the hydrogen. The energy input via the ball collisions might lead to local temperature peaks providing the kinetics for metal atom diffusion. The result is a very effective hydrogenation and a subsequent disproportionation of thermodynamically very stable compounds due to the high pressure

![Fig. 5.1: Schematic representation of the reactive milling procedure (I) and the subsequent recombination step in a vacuum furnace (II).](image)
and the enhanced temperature used during milling [102]. A heat treatment under continuous pumping to desorb the hydrogen leads to the recombined material with grain sizes in the same order to those obtained by melt-spinning or mechanical alloying after optimum heat treatment. Another advantage compared with the intensive milling method is a reduced milling time resulting from the extreme conditions employed.

**5.1.4 Hot deformation**

The hot deformation process consists of two steps: first, the nanocrystalline flakes or powders are hot pressed to form an isotropic fully dense precursor; second, a die-upsetting procedure is applied resulting in an anisotropic material due to the crystallographic alignment of the $c$ axis of the $\text{R}_2\text{T}_{14}\text{B}$ grains parallel to the direction of the pressure applied during the hot deformation [91,106].

Materials produced by melt-spinning and intensive milling were used for hot pressing of precursors with a diameter and height of 8 mm [90-92,99,100]. A hot pressing temperature of 700°C and a pressure of 150 MPa in vacuum ($10^{-2}$ mbar) were sufficient to reach a density of 7.5 g cm$^{-3}$ of the isotropic precursors. Die-upsetting was carried out in argon, at a temperature of 750°C, with a strain rate of $3.3 \times 10^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$. For the die-upset magnets a degree of deformation of $\varepsilon \approx 1$ ($\varepsilon = \ln(h_0 / h)$, with $h_0$ the starting height of the sample and $h$ the height after deformation) led to optimum values for the energy density, $(BH)_{\text{max}}$. A cube was cut from the center of each die-upset magnet in order to measure the magnetic properties parallel to the pressing direction.

The hot deformation experiments were performed at the IFW by Dr. Kirchner.

**5.2 Structural characterisation**

**5.2.1 Differential scanning calorimetry**

Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) was performed, heating the ribbon flakes and the intensively milled powders up to 700°C or 900°C at a rate of 20 K min$^{-1}$ under an argon atmosphere to study the crystallisation behaviour on first heating and magnetic and phase transitions on second heating. Two different devices were used: DSC 7 (Perkin-Elmer) and DSC 404 (Netzsch) with maximum operation temperatures of 800°C and
1500°C, respectively. Alumina and graphite pans were used in the measurements, the latter one presenting the advantage of a higher thermal conductivity and absence of any chemical reaction with the samples under investigation.

### 5.2.2 X-ray diffraction

A Philips PW 1830 diffractometer with a monochromatic Co-K$\alpha$ radiation ($\lambda=1.78896$ Å) was used for X-ray analysis of the materials after the different processing steps.

The interplanar spacing of the crystal lattice, $d$, corresponding to a family of planes given by the (hkl) Miller indices, can be determined from Bragg’s law: $2dsin\theta = n\lambda$, where $\theta$ is the angle between the incident beam and (hkl) planes, $n$ is an integer and $\lambda$ is the wavelength of the radiation [107]. All lattice planes with the same $d$-spacing will give rise to reflections at the same Bragg angle, $2\theta$. The major problem in X-ray analysis is the overlap of different intensity peaks. The most obvious result obtained is the position of the reflections and the subsequent calculation of the $d$-spacings. If the unit cell parameters are known, the Miller indices can be calculated by substituting $d(hkl)=a/\sqrt{(h^2+k^2+l^2)}$ in the Bragg equation. A plot of $sin^2\theta$ vs. $N$, where $N=(h^2+k^2+l^2)$, will result in a straight line with a gradient of $\lambda^2/4a^2$. The lowest gradient for which all the reflections have an integer $N$ value provides the Miller indices for the reflections. Several factors which could cause a broadening of the peaks (those due to the measuring instrument: divergence of the beam, natural broadening of the incident radiation, ... and to the material: internal stresses, grain size, for example) must be taken into account.

One of the major causes of peak broadening is finite particle size. As the number of diffracting planes reduces, the width of the line increases. This is analogous to light diffracting from a grating where the line width is proportional to the number of diffracting grooves in the grating. The effect of grain size on the width (in radians) of the diffraction peak is given by the Scherrer’s equation:

$$\Delta_{\text{size}}(2\theta) = \frac{\kappa \lambda}{D \cos(\theta B)}$$

(5.1)
where $\Delta(2\theta)$ is the width of the peak, $\lambda$ the wavelength, $D$ the grain size, $\theta_B$ the Bragg angle and $\kappa$ a constant depending on the line shape profile. The constant in equation (5.1) is close to unity ($\kappa = 0.89$ for a Gaussian).

The peak can also be broadened by micro-strains, $\varepsilon$, within the crystal or powder. These strains cause variations in the $d$-spacing, $\Delta d$, and consequently cause a broadening of the diffraction peak. By differentiating Bragg’s law it can be shown that strain affects the peak in the following way:

$$\Delta_{\text{strain}}(2\theta) = 2\varepsilon \tan(\theta_B)$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.2)

Thus, information on grain size and strain can be obtained from the shape of the diffraction peak. The line shape is a convolution of three components: the wavelength dispersion, instrument characteristics and the effects of the sample itself. The intrinsically good wavelength dispersion and instrument characteristics make the two first terms negligible in X-ray experiments.

The Williamson-Hall method [108] considers that both, strain and size effects, occur within the sample resulting in a width of the diffraction peak given by the sum of equations (5.1) and (5.2). The angular dependence difference between the strain and size terms allows to separate them. Rearrangement of that sum gives:

$$\Delta^{hkl}(2\theta) \cos(\theta_B^{hkl}) \approx \frac{\lambda}{D} + 2\varepsilon \sin(\theta_B^{hkl})$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.3)

A plot of $\Delta^{hkl}(2\theta) \cos(\theta_B^{hkl})$ vs. $\sin(\theta_B^{hkl})$ allows the two broadening terms to be separated and measured. The width of the diffraction peak, $\Delta^{hkl}(2\theta)$, is quantified by using the width at half maximum.

In the present work X-ray diffraction has been used to identify phases matching the experimental data with patterns obtained from single-phase reference samples. These data are collected in a computer program (Philips-APD 3.5). The samples were fixed to a pyrex sample holder using double-sided Scotch tape. The Williamson-Hall method has been used to determine average grain sizes from the XRD patterns.
5.2.3 Electron microscopy

The microstructure of the starting alloys was studied by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) in the backscattering mode. The samples were prepared by standard metallographic means: firstly, they were cut to slices (1-2 mm thick) using a low speed cutting wheel and then embedded in resin and polished on cloth-covered polishing wheels charged with diamond paste on an automatic polishing machine. Polishing of the samples was carried out by the metallographic department at the IFW-Dresden and SEM studies were done using a Zeiss DSM962 microscope.

The nanostructure of processed magnet powders was studied by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) using a JEOL 2000 FX at the Jozef Stefan Institute (Ljubljana, Slovenia). Specimen preparation was done by Dr. Gec by embedding the powder samples in a polymer resin and, afterwards, reducing and polishing the sample by means of ion beam milling. The work at the TEM was carried out in cooperation with Dr. Drazic.

5.3 Characterisation of magnetic properties

5.3.1 Vibrating sample magnetometer

The vibrating-sample method was developed by Foner in 1959. It is based on the flux change in a coil when the sample is vibrated. The sample, situated at the end of a rod, is forced to vibrate in a vertical direction making an oscillatory movement inside the coil system. The oscillating magnetic field of the sample induces an alternating voltage in the detection coils. A small permanent magnet, acting as reference, oscillates at the same frequency as the sample and induces another voltage in two reference coils. The difference of both voltages is proportional to the magnetic moment of the sample.

Milled and annealed powders were mixed with epoxy resin for magnetic characterisation whereas the melt-spun and annealed materials were prepared by bonding about 30 ribbons (5 mm length each one) with every flake parallel to the next one but isolated. Magnetic properties of the different magnets were determined using an Oxford vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM) with a maximum applied field of 8 T at room temperature. The density for each sample was taken as that which corresponds to the
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homogenised bulk material determined by the Archimedean principle at room temperature using Iodobutane ($C_4HgI$) with a density of $\rho \approx 1.6 \text{ gcm}^{-3}$ and a high precision balance.

5.3.2 Curie temperature measurements

Estimation of the Curie temperature, $T_C$, of the different phases present in the starting alloys and in those after processing was possible simply by heating them in the DSC (1$^{\text{st}}$ heating and 2$^{\text{nd}}$ heating, respectively) with a rate of 20 Kmin$^{-1}$ under protected atmosphere.

Some $T_C$ values were obtained from thermal demagnetisation curves taken by Dr. Eckert with a self-made VSM, and from curves obtained by thermomagnetic balance measurements by Dr. Roth. The first procedure was used only for some selected alloys, because of the temperature limitation of the device (700°C).

Curie temperature measurements of nanocomposite magnets were carried out in a high temperature susceptometer magnetometer built by Dr. Eckert and Dr. Hinz. Figure 5.2 shows the result of a measurement performed using pure Fe.

![Temperature vs. Magnetisation graph with $T_C (\text{Fe}) \approx 770^\circ \text{C}$]

*Fig. 5.2: Determination of the Curie temperature of pure Fe by using a high temperature susceptometer magnetometer, showing excellent agreement with the literature value [7].*
6 Decoupled magnets: (Nd,Pr)-based magnets

A comparative study of the processing of highly coercive, isotropic (Nd,Pr)FeB-type magnets has been carried out using two different techniques: intensive milling and melt-spinning. The influence of small amounts of additives on microstructure, magnetic properties and processing characteristics is evaluated. Selected samples produced by the two different routes have been used for hot pressing showing significant differences in terms of behaviour during processing and final magnetic properties.

6.1 Thermal characterisation

Thermal characterisation of the materials is very useful to estimate important quantities as for example: crystallisation temperature, $T_x$, of the R$_2$T$_{14}$B phase; Curie temperature, $T_C$, of the R$_2$T$_{14}$B phase and melting temperature, $T_m$, of the R-rich phase. The corresponding values will be decisive when selecting processing conditions to obtain a magnet with optimised magnetic properties.

6.1.1 Starting alloys

Compositions of the starting alloys are listed in Table 6.1.

Thermal characterisation by first heating of the starting alloys showed two endothermic peaks. The first one corresponds to the Curie temperature, $T_C$, of the R$_2$T$_{14}$B phase and the second one to the melting temperature, $T_m$, of the R-rich intergranular phase.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>alloy no.</th>
<th>Nd (at.%)</th>
<th>Pr (at.%)</th>
<th>Dy (at.%)</th>
<th>Fe (at.%)</th>
<th>B (at.%)</th>
<th>Zr (at.%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>77.3</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>76.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>76.0</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>11.25</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>75.9</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>75.9</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6)</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>11.25</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>75.9</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>75.9</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(8)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>77.3</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6.1: Composition of the starting alloys (basic ternary alloys in bold).
The ternary NdFeB(1) and PrFeB(8) alloys (see Fig. 6.1a) exhibit values of $T_C \approx 310\,^\circ C$ and $T_m \approx 675\,^\circ C$ for the former one, and $T_C \approx 295\,^\circ C$ and $T_m \approx 655\,^\circ C$ for the latter one. The evolution of both quantities in dependence on the Pr-content for the additives-containing alloys is shown in Fig. 6.1b. A decrease of $T_C$ with increasing Pr-content and, in addition, a decrease in $T_m$ with values from $676\,^\circ C$ for NdDyFeBZr(3) to $658\,^\circ C$ for PrDyFeBZr(7) is observed. The low Zr content of the samples does not allow to establish marked differences between the $T_C$ values for the $R_2T_{14}B$ phase of the ternary alloys and those corresponding to the additives-containing alloys ((3) and (7), respectively) from the calorimetric data.

6.1.2 Milled and melt-spun alloys

DSC investigations by means of first heating of both milled and melt-spun alloys show two common characteristics related to the crystallisation behaviour (see Fig. 6.2): first, an increase of the onset temperature of crystallisation, $T_x$, in those samples with Dy and Zr additions by comparison with that of the ternary alloys and, second, a decreased $T_x$ with increasing Pr amount. $T_x$ values for melt-spun alloys show a shift to higher temperatures, i.e. higher stability of the amorphous structure, now with values closer to each other for the different alloys of the series.
6.2 Microstructural characterisation

In summary, Pr-based alloys show (a) a lower Curie temperatures, (b) a lower melting point of the intergranular phase and (c) a lower crystallisation temperature on annealing milled powders.

6.2 Microstructural characterisation

Heat treatment of either the powders produced by intensive milling or the flakes resulting from melt-spinning leads to the crystallisation of the $\text{R}_2\text{T}_{14}\text{B}$ phase from the amorphous state but with much refined microstructures compared to those of cast alloys. XRD patterns of Fig. 6.3 illustrate the evolution of the microstructure for the ternary PrFeB(8) alloy starting from the as-milled powders and finishing with those after annealing to develop the best combination of magnetic properties. The XRD pattern of the as-milled alloy shows an amorphous halo, reflection peaks of $\text{Pr}_{1.1}\text{Fe}_4\text{B}_4$ and broad peaks related to the nanocrystalline bcc-Fe phase. Annealing at 450°C for 10 min leads to a reduced intensity of the amorphous halo and slightly narrower reflection peaks of $\alpha$-Fe. XRD pattern after longer annealing time (450°C / 30 min) does not allow to distinguish any halo of the amorphous phase but reflections peaks of $\text{Pr}_{1.1}\text{Fe}_4\text{B}_4$ and much narrower reflection peaks of $\alpha$-Fe indicating a significant grain growth of this phase. Crystallisation of the $\text{Pr}_2\text{Fe}_{14}\text{B}$ phase is clearly observed, in good agreement with DSC measurements, after
annealing at 500°C for 10 min. Reflection peaks of Pr\textsubscript{1.1}Fe\textsubscript{4}B\textsubscript{4} are difficult to distinguish at this stage because of the overlapping with those of Pr\textsubscript{2}Fe\textsubscript{14}B. Further increased annealing temperatures and/or times lead to the progressive formation and subsequent grain growth of the nanocrystalline Pr\textsubscript{2}Fe\textsubscript{14}B phase accompanied by a reduction in the amount of α-Fe. Optimised annealing conditions (600°C / 30 min for PrFeB(8)) result in the development of an adequate nanostructure for excellent magnetic properties.

![XRD patterns of Pr\textsubscript{14.7}Fe\textsubscript{77.3}B\textsubscript{8.0} (alloy(8)) after different processing steps: milled in argon and milled-and-annealed at different annealing conditions. Markers indicate the main reflection peaks of R\textsubscript{1.1}Fe\textsubscript{4}B\textsubscript{4} (arrows) and α-Fe (circles). The non-labelled peaks correspond to the Pr\textsubscript{2}Fe\textsubscript{14}B phase.](image)

**Fig. 6.3:** XRD patterns of Pr\textsubscript{14.7}Fe\textsubscript{77.3}B\textsubscript{8.0} (alloy(8)) after different processing steps: milled in argon and milled-and-annealed at different annealing conditions. Markers indicate the main reflection peaks of R\textsubscript{1.1}Fe\textsubscript{4}B\textsubscript{4} (arrows) and α-Fe (circles). The non-labelled peaks correspond to the Pr\textsubscript{2}Fe\textsubscript{14}B phase.

TEM studies performed on the ternary NdFeB(1) alloy processed by intensive milling (Fig. 6.4a) and melt-spinning (Fig. 6.4b) allow to see comparable nanostructures after annealing. The TEM micrograph for NdFeB(1) alloy after milling and annealing (Fig. 6.4a) shows a grain size in the range of 50 to 100 nm and small spherical precipitates of α-
Fe of about 2-5 nm within the 2:14:1-type phase. The same material, but now processed by melt-spinning, exhibits extremely fine grains within an amorphous matrix in the as-spun state (inset Fig. 6.4b) and a grain size in the range of 60-100 nm after optimum annealing, with the presence of some Nd rich regions.

![TEM micrographs of Nd₁₄.₇Fe₇₇.₃B₈.₀ (alloy(1)) after: (a) milling and annealing (600°C / 30 min); (b) melt-spinning and annealing (600°C / 10 min). The small spherical precipitates indicated in (a) correspond to α-Fe. Inset: TEM image showing the partly amorphous microstructure in the as-spun state.]

6.3 Characterisation of magnetic properties

6.3.1 Evolution of magnetic properties of milled alloys in dependence on the annealing temperature

The evolution of coercivity when changing the annealing conditions (increasing temperature and/or time) for PrFeB(8) can be seen in Fig. 6.5. The development of the crystalline nanostructure from the initial amorphous state by optimised annealing (see Fig. 6.3) results in improved coercivities.
The evolution of coercivity and remanence with annealing temperature for some alloys of the series can be seen in Fig. 6.6. The Dy- and Zr-containing alloys show higher coercivities than those free of additives (see Fig. 6.6a). This can be attributed to, first, the higher anisotropy field associated with Dy$_2$Fe$_{14}$B and, second, the grain-growth-inhibiting effect of the Zr addition. The result is a high value of $\mu_0H_c = 2.47$ T for NdDyFeBZr(3) whereas only 1.75 T for the ternary NdFeB(1) alloy, both of them annealed at 700°C. The combined effect of both additions is especially evident for high annealing temperatures such as 800°C. Figure 6.7 shows the TEM micrograph of the former exhibiting a more homogeneous nanostructure by comparison with that of NdFeB(1) (see Fig. 6.4a). The Dy addition results in a reduction of the saturation polarisation and, consequently, of the remanence (Fig. 6.6b) because of the anti-parallel coupling of the Dy and Fe magnetic moments which can be seen from a comparison of the corresponding remanence values for the NdFeB(1) and NdDyFeB(2) alloys: 0.73 T and 0.68 T, respectively, after annealing at 600°C. Again, the stabilisation against grain growth due to the Zr addition has a beneficial effect, avoiding a decrease in the remanence at high annealing temperatures which is observed for the NdFeB(1) and NdDyFeB(2) alloys (see Fig. 6.6b). Another feature of the Zr-containing alloys is the small variation in coercivity and remanence values observed...
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Fig. 6.6: Magnetic properties of milled-and-annealed powders after annealing at different temperatures: (a) coercivity $\mu_0 H_c$ and (b) remanence $J_r$.

when varying the annealing temperature. For example, when changing the annealing temperature from 600 to 800 °C a maximum difference in the coercivity values of 1 T for the NdFeB(1) alloy was observed, but only 0.1 T for the NdDyFeBZr(3) alloy, indicating a wider processing window for these Zr-containing alloys due to the inhibited grain growth. A similar effect can be found for the Pr-based alloys, with the highest coercivity, $\mu_0 H_c = 2.49$ T, achieved for PrDyFeBZr(7) after annealing at 600°C due, in addition, to the higher anisotropy field associated with $\text{Pr}_2\text{Fe}_{14}\text{B}$. 
6.3 Characterisation of magnetic properties

6.3.2 Influence of additives and Pr-content on coercivity in alloys processed by milling and melt-spinning

The high coercivities obtained for PrDyFeB_{2}Zr(7) in comparison with those obtained for PrFeB(8) processed by either intensive milling or melt-spinning (Fig. 6.8) illustrate the role played by the additives. They modify both the intrinsic properties and the microstructure (Fig. 6.9), and thus the extrinsic magnetic properties.
Fig. 6.8: Initial magnetisation and demagnetisation curves of Pr$_{14.7}$Fe$_{77.3}$B$_{8.0}$ (alloy (8)) and Pr$_{15.0}$Dy$_{1.0}$Fe$_{75.9}$B$_{8.0}$Zr$_{0.1}$ (alloy (7)) processed by intensive milling (IM) and melt-spinning (MS) after annealing: 600°C for 30 and 10 min, respectively.

A TEM micrograph of the milled and annealed ternary alloy shows large platelets formed during crystallisation (see Fig. 6.9a). This is in contrast to the equiaxial grains and the finer and more homogeneous microstructure obtained after melt-spinning and annealing (Fig. 6.9c). The micrographs corresponding to PrDyFeBZr(7) show, in both cases, comparable microstructures with grain sizes in the range of 70-100 nm, but more uniform for the one processed by melt-spinning (Figs. 6.9b,d).
6.3 Characterisation of magnetic properties

Fig. 6.9: TEM images of Pr_{14.7}Fe_{77.3}B_{8.0} (alloy (8)) and Pr_{15.0}Dy_{1.0}Fe_{75.9}B_{8.0}Zr_{0.1} (alloy (7)) processed by intensive milling ((a) and (b), respectively) and melt-spinning ((c) and (d), respectively), all of them after annealing: 600°C for 30 and 10 min, respectively.

A comparison of the optimum coercivities achieved for the additives-containing alloys processed by the two different techniques can be seen in Fig. 6.10. Higher values can be observed for the melt-spun and annealed materials along the whole series.
6.4 Hot workability

Hot-pressing and hot-deformation were performed using (a) intensively milled powders with starting particles sizes lower than 160 µm and (b) powderised melt-spun flakes. Figure 6.11 shows the demagnetisation curves of the milled and annealed powders as well as those of milled, hot pressed and die-upset magnets. The grain growth inhibiting effect of Zr can be observed by comparison of the coercivities of the milled and annealed powders and those of the corresponding die-upset magnets. \( \text{Nd}_{14.8}\text{Dy}_{1.0}\text{Fe}_{76.0}\text{B}_{8.1}\text{Zr}_{0.1} \) (alloy (3)) exhibits a decrease in coercivity after hot deformation of only 17% whereas a 64% decrease is observed for \( \text{Nd}_{14.7}\text{Fe}_{77.3}\text{B}_{8.0} \) (alloy (1)). Both die-upset magnets show the same remanence, resulting in energy densities of \( (BH)_{\text{max}} = 183 \text{ kJm}^{-3} \) and 163 kJm\(^{-3}\), respectively. However, \( \text{Pr}_{14.7}\text{Fe}_{77.3}\text{B}_{8.0} \) (alloy (8)) textured by die-upsetting exhibits magnetic properties as high as \( J_r = 1.27 \text{ T}, \mu_0H_c = 1.20 \text{ T} \) and \( (BH)_{\text{max}} = 307 \text{ kJm}^{-3} \). The higher coercivity could be explained in terms of the different morphology and distribution of the intergranular phase. Fuerst et al [90] proposed that differences in the transport properties of Nd and Pr atoms during cooling from the die-upset temperature could lead to a higher volume fraction of Pr-rich phase which would be responsible for the high coercivity. The high remanence of the Pr-based alloys could be explained by the lowest deformation stress [93] required for texturing (as low as 5 MPa) in contrast to the 25 MPa.
necessary for NdFeB(1). Figure 6.12 compares the deformation stresses for Pr$_{14.7}$Fe$_{77.3}$B$_{8.0}$ and two commercially available materials: MQP-A (Nd$_{14.0}$Fe$_{81.1}$B$_{4.9}$) and MQU-F (Nd$_{13.6}$Fe$_{73.6}$Ga$_{0.6}$Co$_{6.6}$B$_{5.6}$). The lower remanence after die-upsetting of the melt-spun Pr$_{14.8}$Dy$_{1.0}$Fe$_{76.0}$B$_{8.1}$Zr$_{0.1}$ (alloy (7)) could be attributed to the high required deformation stress of about 40 MPa and to the reduction of the saturation polarisation of the alloy due to alloy additions.

![Fig. 6.11: Demagnetisation curves of magnets after milling (IM) and annealing and melt-spinning (MS) and annealing (empty symbols), and demagnetisation curves measured along the texture axis of the die-upset (DU) magnets (full symbols), corresponding to: (a) Nd$_{14.7}$Fe$_{77.3}$B$_{8.0}$ (alloy (1)) and Nd$_{14.8}$Dy$_{1.0}$Fe$_{76.0}$B$_{8.1}$Zr$_{0.1}$ (alloy (3)), (b) Pr$_{14.7}$Fe$_{77.3}$B$_{8.0}$ (alloy (8)) and Pr$_{14.8}$Dy$_{1.0}$Fe$_{76.0}$B$_{8.1}$Zr$_{0.1}$ (alloy (7)).](image)

![Fig. 6.12: Stress-strain curves and deformation stresses required for texturing by hot deformation for intensively milled Pr$_{14.7}$Fe$_{77.3}$B$_{8.0}$ powders, MQP-A (Nd$_{14.0}$Fe$_{81.1}$B$_{4.9}$) and MQU-F (Nd$_{13.6}$Fe$_{73.6}$Ga$_{0.6}$Co$_{6.6}$B$_{5.6}$). Die-upsetting carried out in argon, at a temperature of 750°C with a strain rate of 3.3×10$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$.](image)
Figure 6.13 shows a Kerr image of die-upset intensively milled PrFeB(8). It can be seen by comparison with Fig. 2.5 how the interaction between the domains takes place on a more reduced scale than in the case of conventional die-upset melt-spun NdFeB. Actually, this is the first image of interaction domains of die-upset milled powders. A cooperative phenomenon leads to domain patterns on a scale much larger than the actual grain size.

![Kerr image showing interaction domains in a die-upset intensively milled Pr$_{14.7}$Fe$_{77.3}$B$_{8.0}$ (alloy (8)), with the c-axis perpendicular to the imaging plane (courtesy O. Gutfleisch).](image)

**Fig. 6.13: Kerr image showing interaction domains in a die-upset intensively milled Pr$_{14.7}$Fe$_{77.3}$B$_{8.0}$ (alloy (8)), with the c-axis perpendicular to the imaging plane (courtesy O. Gutfleisch).**

### 6.5 Summary

(Nd,Pr)FeB-type alloys have been prepared by intensive milling and melt-spinning resulting in isotropic powders with very good coercivities. Dy and Zr additions increase the onset temperature of the crystallization of the R$_2$Fe$_{14}$B phase, i.e. they stabilize the amorphous structure. On the other hand, the substitution of Nd by Pr decreases the onset thereby allowing the application of lower annealing temperatures. The high coercivity exhibited by the powders containing Dy and Zr can be explained by the high anisotropy field associated with Dy and, secondly, by the grain growth inhibiting effect of the Zr addition. In this way, alloys containing these additives to the base composition show high coercivity values after annealing at 600-800 °C and even after die-upsetting. An additional
advantage of the Pr-containing alloys is the low deformation force necessary for texturing by hot deformation, with the lowest value obtained for the milled PrFeB ternary alloy.
7 Nanocomposite magnets: PrNdDyFeCoBZr + x wt.% α-Fe (x = 5 - 35)

In the previous section, the influence of Dy and Zr and the substitution of Pr by Nd on the microstructural and magnetic properties have been studied. Substitution of Pr by Nd leads to a decrease in coercivity but an increase in remanence, resulting in a higher value of \((BH)_{\text{max}}\). The best combination of magnetic properties was achieved for \(\text{Pr}_{11.25}\text{Nd}_{3.75}\text{Dy}_{1.0}\text{Fe}_{76.0}\text{B}_{8.1}\text{Zr}_{0.1}\) (alloy (6)) with: \((BH)_{\text{max}} = 92\ \text{kJm}^{-3}\). This decoupled magnet exhibits a very high coercivity of \(\mu_0H_c = 2.49\ \text{T}\). Practical applications of bonded magnets do not require such a high coercivity. A decreased R-content is expected to result in a decreased coercivity but enlarged remanence, i.e. increased \((BH)_{\text{max}}\). This latter value can be further enlarged by means of an effective exchange-coupling. These aspects will be considered along this chapter.

7.1 Characterisation of the base alloy: \(\text{Pr}_{9.0}\text{Nd}_{3.0}\text{Dy}_{1.0}\text{Fe}_{72.0}\text{Co}_{8.0}\text{B}_{6.9}\text{Zr}_{0.1}\)

Since the best combination of magnetic properties was obtained for the decoupled magnet with composition \(\text{Pr}_{11.25}\text{Nd}_{3.75}\text{Dy}_{1.0}\text{Fe}_{76.0}\text{B}_{8.1}\text{Zr}_{0.1}\) (alloy (6)), the Dy and Zr contents and the Pr:Nd ratio have remained unchanged in the new alloy: \(\text{Pr}_{9.0}\text{Nd}_{3.0}\text{Dy}_{1.0}\text{Fe}_{72.0}\text{Co}_{8.0}\text{B}_{6.9}\text{Zr}_{0.1}\). A small amount of Fe has been substituted by Co to improve the relatively low Curie temperature of the \(\text{R}_2\text{T}_{14}\text{B}\) phase.

7.1.1 DSC and thermomagnetic analysis of starting and milled material

The DSC analysis performed on the starting material shows two endothermic peaks: the first one corresponding to the Curie temperature of the \(\text{R}_2\text{T}_{14}\text{B}\) phase and the second one to the melting-point of the R-rich phase (see Fig. 7.1a). An increased value of \(T_C \approx 390^\circ\text{C}\) due to the presence of Co and a much reduced melting-point of the R-rich phase \(T_m \approx 580^\circ\text{C}\) are significant advantages by comparison with the previously studied alloys. Additionally, a reduced crystallisation temperature of \(T_x \approx 520^\circ\text{C}\) is observed on first heating of the milled powders (Fig. 7.1b). Thermomagnetic measurements on milled and
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annealed Pr$_{9.0}$Nd$_{3.0}$Dy$_{1.0}$Fe$_{72.0}$Co$_{8.0}$B$_{6.9}$Zr$_{0.1}$ show two different signals: the first one indicative of the Curie temperature of the hard magnetic phase, $T_C \approx 385$°C, and the second one at higher values, corresponding to that of a soft magnetic phase, $T_C \approx 850$°C (Fig. 7.1c). The presence of this soft magnetic phase is attributed to a decreased R-content in the resulting powders maybe due to evaporation during milling and subsequent annealing. The corresponding $T_C$ value of this phase exceeds the $T_C$ of pure Fe by 80°C. This is attributed to a diffusional redistribution of Co and Fe during the crystallisation process which would result in a reduction of the Co-content in the hard magnetic phase and a formation of an FeCo soft magnetic phase. A similar observation was made previously by Neu [109].
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7.1.2 Microstructural characterisation

Co has been found to play an important role as a grain refiner of the microstructure in this system [110] as it can be seen from a comparison of the broadness of the reflection peaks in the corresponding XRD patterns with those of PrNdDyFeBZr(6) (see Fig. 7.2). Even after annealing at 700°C the peaks still remain significantly broad. A very homogeneous nanostructure with grains of about 20-25 nm was observed by TEM after annealing at 600°C as it can be seen in Fig. 7.3. A reflection peak corresponding to the $\alpha$-(Fe,Co) phase can be observed in the XRD patterns at about 52° which disappears after annealing at temperatures higher than 700°C due to the solution of this phase into the R$_2$T$_{14}$B phase.

![XRD patterns](image)

**Fig. 7.2:** XRD patterns of milled Pr$_{11.25}$Nd$_{3.75}$Dy$_{1.0}$Fe$_{75.9}$B$_{8.0}$Zr$_{0.1}$ (alloy (6)) and Pr$_{9.0}$Nd$_{3.0}$Dy$_{1.0}$Fe$_{72.0}$Co$_{8.0}$B$_{6.9}$Zr$_{0.1}$ alloys after subsequent annealing for 30min (annealing temperatures in brackets). The dotted line indicates the reflection peak at about 52° of the $\alpha$-(Fe,Co) phase.
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Fig. 7.3: TEM micrograph of milled Pr$_{9.0}$Nd$_{3.0}$Dy$_{1.0}$Fe$_{72.0}$Co$_{8.0}$B$_{6.9}$Zr$_{0.1}$ after annealing at 600°C.

7.1.3. Characterisation of magnetic properties

As-milled and annealed Pr$_{11.25}$Nd$_{3.75}$Dy$_{1.0}$Fe$_{75.9}$B$_{8.0}$Zr$_{0.1}$ (alloy(6)) exhibits the best combination of magnetic properties of the series previously studied resulting in the highest energy density: $(BH)_{\text{max}} = 92$ kJm$^{-3}$. It is because of this that the Dy and Zr contents and the Pr:Nd ratio remained unchanged for Pr$_{9.0}$Nd$_{3.0}$Dy$_{1.0}$Fe$_{72.0}$Co$_{8.0}$B$_{6.9}$Zr$_{0.1}$ but the total R-content was reduced in order to increase the remanence. Figure 7.4 shows the initial magnetisation and demagnetisation curves for both alloys after optimum annealing conditions. The evolution of remanence and coercivity with increasing annealing temperature for PrNdDyFeCoBZr can be seen in the inset of Fig. 7.4: the increase in coercivity is accompanied by a decrease in remanence due to the grain growth effect and the complete dissolution of the soft magnetic phase with increasing annealing temperature. This makes necessary to achieve a balance between them to avoid a detriment of the magnetic performance $(BH)_{\text{max}}$. A significant remanence enhancement, $J_r = 0.92$ T, and a still high coercivity, $\mu_0H_c = 1.25$ T, were obtained after annealing at 600°C. The high remanence results from the very fine and homogeneous nanostructure which leads to an effective exchange-coupling between the grains. A good square shape of the hysteresis loop is also obtained, resulting in a high $(BH)_{\text{max}}$ value of 140 kJm$^{-3}$. 
7.2 Characterisation of the base alloy blended with $\alpha$-Fe

In this section, intensive milling will be shown to be a very versatile technique to obtain high-quality isotropic nanocomposite powders by blending the previously studied Pr$_{9.0}$Nd$_{3.0}$Dy$_{1.0}$Fe$_{72.0}$Co$_{8.0}$B$_{6.9}$Zr$_{0.1}$ starting alloy with elementary $\alpha$-Fe powders as soft magnetic phase.

7.2.1 Compositional analysis

The Pr$_{9.0}$Nd$_{3.0}$Dy$_{1.0}$Fe$_{72.0}$Co$_{8.0}$B$_{6.9}$Zr$_{0.1}$ alloy was milled with different fractions of pure $\alpha$-Fe powder ($x = 5 - 35$ wt.%). XRD patterns of the resulting powders after milling and annealing show, first, an increase of the intensity peak of the soft magnetic phase with...
increasing $\alpha$-Fe fraction and, second, very broad reflection peaks for both the hard and the soft magnetic phases (see Fig. 7.5).

7.2.2 Magnetic behaviour

(i) Hysteresis loops

Figure 7.6 shows the decreased coercivity but enhanced remanence with increasing $\alpha$-Fe content. Magnetically single-phase behaviour is observed in all cases indicative of an effective exchange-coupling between the hard and the soft magnetic phases due to a very fine nanostructure.

---

Fig. 7.5: XRD patterns of $Pr_{9.0}Nd_{3.0}Dy_{1.0}Fe_{72.0}Co_{8.0}B_{6.9}Zr_{0.1} + x$ wt.% $\alpha$-Fe ($x = 5$, 10, 25 and 35) after milling-and-annealing. The dotted line indicates the reflection peak of the (Fe,Co)-phase at about 52°.
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Fig. 7.6: Initial magnetisation and demagnetisation curves for Pr$_9$Nd$_3$Dy$_1$Fe$_{72}$Co$_8$B$_6$Zr$_{0.1}$ + $x$ wt.% $\alpha$-Fe ($x = 0, 5, 10, 25$ and $35$) after milling and annealing. Arrows indicate the evolution of remanence and coercivity with increasing $\alpha$-Fe fraction.

(ii) Evolution of magnetic properties vs. $\alpha$-Fe-fraction

It is generally accepted that a small grain size improves both the remanence and the coercivity of isotropic nanocomposite magnets. However, according to theoretical models [31-36], too small soft magnetic grains (smaller than twice the domain wall width of the hard phase) can result in a coupling between the hard magnetic grains, i.e. in a low coercivity [64-66]. Figure 7.7 shows the evolution of the magnetic properties in dependence on the $\alpha$-Fe fraction for the complete series after annealing to achieve the highest $(BH)_{max}$ values. The high remanences are due to the presence of $\alpha$-Fe and the exchange-coupling effect. It can be seen how $J_r$ is improved at the expense of coercivity. The maximum value for the maximum energy density is achieved for an $\alpha$-Fe fraction of 25 wt.% $\alpha$-Fe: $(BH)_{max} = 178$ kJm$^{-3}$. For $x \leq 25$ wt.% $\alpha$-Fe the coercive field is higher than one half of the remanence, i.e. $(BH)_{max}$ is mainly controlled by the remanence resulting in an increase of $(BH)_{max}$ with increasing $J_r$. At higher $\alpha$-Fe contents however, the coercive field is smaller than one half of the remanence and $(BH)_{max}$ decreases as a consequence of
Fig. 7.7: Evolution of remanence, $J_r(T)$; coercivity, $\mu_0 H_c(T)$; and energy product, $(BH)_{\text{max}}$ (kJ$\text{m}^{-3}$) in dependence on the $\alpha$-Fe fraction (wt.%). Dotted line indicates the $\alpha$-Fe fraction which leads to the best combination of magnetic properties: $x = 25$wt.% $\alpha$-Fe.

irreversible demagnetisation processes. The TEM bright field image of milled and optimally annealed PrNdDyFeCoBZr + 25 wt.% $\alpha$-Fe powder shown in Fig. 7.8 allows to distinguish grains of about 20 nm corresponding to the hard magnetic phase and some smaller ones, of about 15 nm, corresponding to the soft magnetic phase. A relative remanence $\alpha' = 0.73$ (with $\alpha' = J_r / J(8T)$) is obtained for this sample.
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Fig. 7.8: TEM bright field image of Pr$_{9.0}$Nd$_{3.0}$Dy$_{1.0}$Fe$_{72.0}$Co$_{8.0}$B$_{6.9}$Zr$_{0.1}$ + 25 wt.% $\alpha$-Fe powder after milling and annealing at 600°C.

(iii) Thermomagnetic results

Thermomagnetic measurements on Pr$_{9.0}$Nd$_{3.0}$Dy$_{1.0}$Fe$_{72.0}$Co$_{8.0}$B$_{6.9}$Zr$_{0.1}$ + 25 wt.% $\alpha$-Fe, after milling and annealing, shows a Curie temperature of the hard magnetic phase of about 365°C, and $T_C \approx 830$°C for the $\alpha$-(Fe,Co) soft magnetic phase (see Fig. 7.9). This is attributed to a diffusional redistribution of Co and Fe during the crystallisation process and, due to the complete solubility of Co in the R$_2$T$_{14}$B and $\alpha$-Fe phases, this would result in a reduction of the Co-content in the hard magnetic phase and the formation of an $\alpha$-FeCo soft magnetic phase. The solubility of Co in the R$_2$T$_{14}$B and $\alpha$-Fe phases during the crystallisation process can explain the reduction of $T_C$ for the hard and the soft magnetic phases by comparison with the values obtained for the pure PrNdDyFeCoBZr milled without any Fe addition. The higher amount of $\alpha$-Fe in the sample results in a higher dilution of Co in both phases giving rise to a reduction in the corresponding $T_C$ values.
7.3 Summary

This chapter has focused on studying high-quality nanocomposite Pr-based magnets produced from a Pr$_9$Nd$_3$Dy$_1$Fe$_{72}$Co$_8$B$_{6.9}$Zr$_{0.1}$ alloy blended with different fractions of α-Fe powder previous to milling. The starting alloy exhibits promising magnetic properties after milling and annealing: $J_r = 0.92$ T, $\mu_0H_c = 1.25$ T and $(BH)_{max} = 140$ kJm$^{-3}$, due to a very fine microstructure with a mean grain size of 20 nm. A high Curie temperature of about 385°C was measured for this composition. The nanocomposite magnet with a 25 wt.% α-Fe addition exhibits the best combination of magnetic properties: $J_r = 1.19$ T, $\mu_0H_c = 0.66$ T and $(BH)_{max} = 178$ kJm$^{-3}$. Zr and Co additives have been shown to play an important role controlling the microstructure and resulting in an average grain size of about 20 and 15 nm for the hard and the soft magnetic phases, respectively. The latter one is an α-(Fe,Co) phase due to a diffusional redistribution of Co and Fe taking place during the crystallisation process. This optimised nanocomposite magnet shows a $T_C \approx 365$°C. Furthermore, magnetically single-phase behaviour is observed for magnets containing 5 - 35 wt.% α-Fe as a consequence of an effective exchange-coupling between the two different phases due to the very fine nanostructure.
8 Initial magnetisation and reversal magnetisation processes in nanocrystalline magnets

Three different types of milled-and-annealed alloys have been considered in this chapter: (i) a Pr-rich alloy with composition Pr\textsubscript{14.7}Fe\textsubscript{77.3}B\textsubscript{8.0}; (ii) a nearly single-phase alloy with starting composition Pr\textsubscript{9.0}Nd\textsubscript{3.0}Dy\textsubscript{1.0}Fe\textsubscript{72.0}Co\textsubscript{8.0}B\textsubscript{6.9}Zr\textsubscript{0.1} and (iii) a series of nanocomposites prepared by milling the latter alloy with various amounts of α-Fe (5 - 35 wt.% α-Fe).

The behaviour of the initial magnetisation curves for different transition-metal contents and annealing temperatures, i.e. grain sizes, has been studied. Two characteristic lengths can be calculated from the corresponding intrinsic magnetic properties, the critical single-domain particle size given by the proportionality \(d_c \sim \mu_0(AK_1)^{1/2}/J_s^2\), and the wall thickness \(\delta_w \approx \pi(A/K_1)^{1/2}\). For the compounds studied here, \(d_c\) is in the range of several hundred nanometers, but \(\delta_w\) is only a few nanometers (see Table 4.2). Thus, the mean grain sizes are decisive because the formation of classical domain structures can take place only within relatively large grains, but microstructural studies of the materials (Chapters 6 and 7) have shown, in general, very fine microstructures.

Reversibility measurements of the demagnetisation curves give important information about the magnetisation processes. Recoil loops have been measured for a number of starting fields along the demagnetisation curve of a previously saturated sample. A systematic analysis of the exchange-coupling phenomena when varying the α-Fe content, the annealing temperature (grain size) and the measurement temperature has been carried out, and the well known Wohlfarth’s remanence analysis (Chapter 3) has been used to analyse the corresponding processes during demagnetisation. An additional study of the reversible and the irreversible changes in polarisation during magnetisation can be very useful to obtain additional information on the mechanisms responsible for exchange-coupling in these nanocomposite permanent magnets.
8.1 Initial magnetisation processes

Figure 8.1 shows the evolution of the initial magnetisation curves for Pr$_{14.7}$Fe$_{77.3}$B$_{8.0}$ (alloy (8)) in the thermally demagnetised state after milling and after different annealing treatments starting from the amorphous state. Annealing at 450°C for 10 minutes results in the highest saturation polarisation which can be due to a microstructure consisting of nanocrystallites of α-Fe embedded in an amorphous matrix (see XRD pattern in Fig. 6.3). The significant grain growth of the α-Fe phase and the practical disappearance of the amorphous phase after annealing at 450°C for 30 min lead to a decreased saturation polarisation. Annealing at 500°C leads to significant remanence and coercivity values due to the coexistence of the crystallised Pr$_2$Fe$_{14}$B phase with enlarged α-Fe grains. After annealing at 550°C, the formation of the Pr$_2$Fe$_{14}$B phase appears to be completed with only a small amount of α-Fe being present. XRD patterns (Fig. 6.3) and the origin of the coercivity (Fig. 6.5) support these observations. After annealing at 600°C the initial magnetisation curve exhibits two magnetisation stages as it can be seen in Fig. 8.1. The formation of an inhomogeneous microstructure at this annealing temperature (as shown in Fig. 6.9) with a fraction of grains in a multi-domain state as well as grains in a

---

**Fig. 8.1: Initial magnetisation curves for Pr$_{14.7}$Fe$_{77.3}$B$_{8.0}$ (alloy(8)) after milling and annealing at different temperatures and/or times.**
single-domain state could be the reason for the more complicated magnetisation process. The theoretical critical domain size below which formation of a domain wall is energetically unfavourable, is \( d_c = 0.3 \) \( \mu \)m (assuming no interactions with neighbouring grains) \([7,20]\). However, the critical domain size in these samples could be significantly smaller than the theoretical value for an isolated grain as it is pointed by Kronmüller \([35]\) and Folks \([48]\). For applied fields \( \mu_0H_{appl} < 1 \) T, the domain walls are easily moved within the grains (which determines the first step of the magnetisation curve). Larger applied fields put all the grains into a single-domain state leading to a second step in the initial magnetisation curve for \( H_{appl} \approx H_c \). Additionally, the pinning of the domain walls at the Pr-rich grain boundary phase during the magnetisation process must be considered. The first step cannot be observed during demagnetisation of the previously saturated sample (see Fig. 6.5) due to the fact that all the multi-domain grains have become single-domain grains. Increased annealing temperature and/or time lead to larger grains and thus, to an increased fraction of multi-domain particles, obtaining a behaviour more similar to nucleation type (as that typically observed in sintered magnets): high initial susceptibility and single-step process \([11]\). This can be observed in Fig. 8.2a where the initial magnetisation curve after annealing at 600°C has been plotted together with those after annealing at 700 and 800 °C. Figures 8.2b,c show the initial magnetisation curve for the nearly single-phase material (\( \text{Pr}_{9.0}\text{Nd}_{3.0}\text{Dy}_{1.0}\text{Fe}_{72.0}\text{Co}_{8.0}\text{B}_{6.9}\text{Zr}_{0.1} \)) and the nanocomposite material ((\( \text{Pr}_{9.0}\text{Nd}_{3.0}\text{Dy}_{1.0}\text{Fe}_{72.0}\text{Co}_{8.0}\text{B}_{6.9}\text{Zr}_{0.1} + 25\text{wt.}\% \alpha\text{-Fe} \)) after identical annealing conditions. After annealing at 600 and 700 °C the single-phase material (Fig. 8.2b) exhibits a behaviour which is very different to that of the PrFeB alloy under the same conditions. \( \text{Pr}_{9.0}\text{Nd}_{3.0}\text{Dy}_{1.0}\text{Fe}_{72.0}\text{Co}_{8.0}\text{B}_{6.9}\text{Zr}_{0.1} \) shows a very fine microstructure (see Fig. 7.3) with grains smaller than the critical single-domain size, \( d_c \). In such fine-grained materials, where classical domain walls cannot exist, the mechanism responsible for those different initial susceptibilities is not yet fully understood. Eckert et al \([11]\) mention that a strong magnetic interaction between the grains must be taken into account to explain that behaviour. The single-phase material exhibits a change in the magnetisation process only after annealing at 800°C due to the grain growth effect (Fig. 8.2b). The nanocomposite magnet (Fig. 8.2c) shows the same magnetisation process characteristic for these fine-grained materials.
8.1 Initial magnetisation processes

Fig. 8.2: Initial magnetisation curves after annealing at different temperatures for:
(a) $\text{Pr}_{14.7}\text{Fe}_{77.3}\text{B}_{8.0}$ (alloy(8));
(b) $\text{Pr}_{9.0}\text{Nd}_{3.0}\text{Dy}_{1.0}\text{Fe}_{72.0}\text{Co}_{8.0}\text{B}_{6.9}\text{Zr}_{0.1}$
and (c) $\text{Pr}_{9.0}\text{Nd}_{3.0}\text{Dy}_{1.0}\text{Fe}_{72.0}\text{Co}_{8.0}\text{B}_{6.9}\text{Zr}_{0.1} + 25\text{wt.}\%\alpha\text-\text{Fe}$. 
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after annealing at 600, 700 and 800 °C. There is an expected increased initial susceptibility with increasing annealing temperature possibly due to the existence of larger soft magnetic grains. However, a magnetically single-phase behaviour is observed during demagnetisation, even after annealing at 800°C (Fig. 8.3), as a result of an effective exchange-coupling between the different grains. By comparison, the demagnetisation curve for Pr$_{14.7}$Fe$_{77.3}$B$_{8.0}$ (Fig. 8.3) exhibits two steps, the first one is due to the nucleation of reverse domains in the larger grains ($d > d_c$) and, the second one, at high reverse applied fields ($H_{appl} > H_c$), is due to the demagnetisation process of the smaller grains ($d < d_c$).

![Graph](image)

**Fig. 8.3: Initial magnetisation and demagnetisation curves after annealing at 800°C for:**
(a) Pr$_{14.7}$Fe$_{77.3}$B$_{8.0}$ (alloy(8)); (b) Pr$_{9.0}$Nd$_{3.0}$Dy$_{1.0}$Fe$_{72.0}$Co$_{8.0}$B$_{6.9}$Zr$_{0.1}$ and (c) Pr$_{9.0}$Nd$_{3.0}$Dy$_{1.0}$Fe$_{72.0}$Co$_{8.0}$B$_{6.9}$Zr$_{0.1}$ + 25wt.% α-Fe.

The effect of the α-Fe content on the initial magnetisation for the nanocomposite Pr$_{9.0}$Nd$_{3.0}$Dy$_{1.0}$Fe$_{72.0}$Co$_{8.0}$B$_{6.9}$Zr$_{0.1}$ + x wt.% α-Fe (x = 0 – 35) can be seen in Fig. 8.4. This result shows that the addition of α-Fe leads to a magnetic softening of the nanocomposite magnet resulting in a higher initial susceptibility with increasing α-Fe content. Evolution of remanence and coercivity for different α-Fe fractions shows this behaviour very clearly (Fig. 7.6).
8.2 Recoil loops at room temperature

Recoil loops of the decoupled magnets are completely closed whereas those of the Pr$_{9.0}$Nd$_{3.0}$Dy$_{1.0}$Fe$_{72.0}$Co$_{8.0}$B$_{6.9}$Zr$_{0.1}$ alloy and the composite magnets are relatively open (Fig. 8.5), due to the reversible rotation of the exchange-coupled soft phase for fields not large enough to reverse the magnetisation of the hard magnetic phase (exchange-spring mechanism).

![Initial magnetisation curves for Pr$_{9.0}$Nd$_{3.0}$Dy$_{1.0}$Fe$_{72.0}$Co$_{8.0}$B$_{6.9}$Zr$_{0.1}$ alloy and composite magnets](image1)

**Fig. 8.4:** Initial magnetisation curves for Pr$_{9.0}$Nd$_{3.0}$Dy$_{1.0}$Fe$_{72.0}$Co$_{8.0}$B$_{6.9}$Zr$_{0.1}$ + x wt.% $\alpha$-Fe ($x = 0 – 35$) after milling and annealing (600°C / 30 min).

![Demagnetisation curves and recoil loops](image2)

**Fig. 8.5:** Demagnetisation curves and recoil loops for (a) Pr$_{14.7}$Fe$_{77.3}$B$_{8.0}$ (alloy8), (b) Pr$_{9.0}$Nd$_{3.0}$Dy$_{1.0}$Fe$_{72.0}$Co$_{8.0}$B$_{6.9}$Zr$_{0.1}$ and (c) Pr$_{9.0}$Nd$_{3.0}$Dy$_{1.0}$Fe$_{72.0}$Co$_{8.0}$B$_{6.9}$Zr$_{0.1}$ + 25wt% $\alpha$-Fe.
8.3 Analysis of exchange-coupling using $\delta J$-plots

Wohlfarth [46] showed that the remanent polarisation $J_r(H_m)$, which is measured after magnetisation at the magnetising field $H_m$, and the demagnetisation remanence $J_r^d$, which is measured after demagnetisation at $-H_m$, should obey the relationship: $J_r^d(H_m) = J_r - 2J_r^m(H_m)$, where $J_r$ is the maximum remanent polarisation (remanence) after magnetisation with the maximum field (see Section 3.3). The deviation of $J_r^d(H_m)$ from Wohlfarth’s relationship can be used for an interpretation of intergrain interactions. In this way, the well known $\delta J$-plot is a graphical presentation of Wohlfarth’s relation in dependence on the applied magnetic field which illustrates the deviations from the theoretical value for $J_r^d(H_m)$. The evolution of the magnetisation curve and the $\delta J$ values have been studied when varying the (i) $\alpha$-Fe content; (ii) the annealing temperature, i.e. the grain size and (iii) the measurement temperature.

8.3.1 The effect of the $\alpha$-Fe content

It is obvious from Fig. 8.5 that samples with a lower R-content show a higher permeability on the recoil curves of the second and the third quadrant of the $J$-$H$-plane. The total polarisation, $J(H)$, becomes zero along the major demagnetisation curve for a certain applied reverse field: the coercive field, $H_c$. However, removal of the field brings the magnetic material back to a remanent state with a considerably large polarisation, $J_r^d(H_c)$. The quantity $J_r^d(H_m)$ is defined as the remanent polarisation after demagnetising the saturated sample in a reverse field $H_m$. If $J_r^d(H_m) = 0$ the applied field $H_m = H_r$ is denoted as remanent coercivity. $H_r$ depends only on the irreversible part of the demagnetisation process in contrast to the intrinsic coercivity, $H_c$, which includes both reversible and irreversible changes. For different samples Fig. 8.6 shows plots of: (left) initial magnetisation and demagnetisation curves and recoil loops; (right) total polarisation during major magnetisation ($m$) and demagnetisation ($d$) curves, $J^{(m/d)}(H_m)$, remanent polarisation, $J_r^{(m/d)}(H_m)$, and the difference between both amounts, $J_{rev}^{(m/d)}(H_m)$, which is associated to reversible rotation of the magnetic moments during application of the field $H_m$. 
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(a1) PrFeB

(b1) PrNdDyFeCoBZr

(c1) PrNdDyFeCoBZr + 5 wt.%Fe

(d1) PrNdDyFeCoBZr + 15 wt.%Fe

(a2) PrFeB

(b2) PrNdDyFeCoBZr

(c2) PrNdDyFeCoBZr + 5 wt.%Fe

(d2) PrNdDyFeCoBZr + 15 wt.%Fe
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Fig. 8.6: Left: Initial magnetisation curves, demagnetisation curves and recoil loops for milled and annealed ($T_a = 600^\circ C$): (a) $Pr_{14.7}Fe_{77.3}B_{8.0}$; (b) $Pr_{9.0}Nd_{3.0}Dy_{1.0}Fe_{72.0}Co_{8.0}B_{6.9}Zr_{0.1}$; (c) $Pr_{9.0}Nd_{3.0}Dy_{1.0}Fe_{72.0}Co_{8.0}B_{6.9}Zr_{0.1} + 5\% \alpha$-Fe; (d) $Pr_{9.0}Nd_{3.0}Dy_{1.0}Fe_{72.0}Co_{8.0}B_{6.9}Zr_{0.1} + 15\% \alpha$-Fe; (e) $Pr_{9.0}Nd_{3.0}Dy_{1.0}Fe_{72.0}Co_{8.0}B_{6.9}Zr_{0.1} + 25\% \alpha$-Fe and (f) $Pr_{9.0}Nd_{3.0}Dy_{1.0}Fe_{72.0}Co_{8.0}B_{6.9}Zr_{0.1} + 35\% \alpha$-Fe. Right: Plots of total polarisation during major magnetisation ($m$) and demagnetisation ($d$) curves, $J_{s}^{(m/d)}(H_m)$, remanent polarisation, $J_{r}^{(m/d)}(H_m)$, and the difference between both amounts, $J_{rev}^{(m/d)}(H_m)$, which is associated to reversible rotation of the magnetic moments during application of the field $H_m$. Measurements performed at room temperature using thermally demagnetised samples.

Values for the remanent coercivity obtained from the plot of $J_{r}^{d}$ vs. $H_m$ are listed in Table 8.1. The increased recoil permeability with increasing $\alpha$-Fe content due to the reversible rotation of the exchange-coupled soft phase for fields not large enough to switch the hard magnetic phase should be noted. Considering the difference in magnetisation when traversing the recoil curve, starting from a point on the demagnetisation curve for a given field and terminating on the recoil curve at zero field (reversible change in polarisation, $J_{rev}^{d}$), $m_{rev}$ can be defined as $m_{rev}=J_{rev}^{d} / J_r$. The corresponding irreversible change is defined as $m_{irr}=(J_r - J_{r}^{d}(H_m))/2J_r$ [51,53]. Both quantities are plotted versus the
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demagnetising field, $H_{\text{m}}$, in Figs. 8.7a,b, respectively. The irreversible susceptibility is given by $\chi_{\text{irr}}(H) = \frac{dm_{\text{irr}}}{dH}$ [55] and, according to the model of Kneller and Hawig [31], the maximum corresponds to the nucleation field, $H_{\text{no}}$, for irreversible magnetisation reversal (Fig. 8.7c). The corresponding $H_{\text{no}}$ values are listed in Table 8.1.

Fig. 8.7: (a) Reversible reduced portion, $m_{\text{rev}} = \frac{J_{\text{rev}}}{J_{r}}$; (b) irreversible reduced portion, $m_{\text{irr}} = \frac{J_{\text{irr}}}{J_{r}}$ and (c) irreversible susceptibility, $\chi_{\text{irr}} = \frac{dm_{\text{irr}}}{dH}$ in T$^{-1}$ vs. the demagnetising field $H_{\text{m}}$ for Pr$_{14.7}$Fe$_{77.3}$B$_{8.0}$ (alloy (8)) and Pr$_{9.0}$Nd$_{3.0}$Dy$_{1.0}$Fe$_{72.0}$Co$_{8.0}$B$_{6.9}$Zr$_{0.1}$ + x wt. % $\alpha$-Fe ($x = 0, 5, 15, 25$ and 35).
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Table 8.1: Coercivity, $\mu_0 H_c (T)$; nucleation field, $\mu_0 H_{no} (T)$; remanent coercivity, $\mu_0 H_r (T)$ and relative remanence, $\alpha' = J_r / J(8T)$, for milled $Pr_{14.7}Fe_{77.3}B_{8.0}$ (alloy (8)) and $Pr_{9.0}Nd_{3.0}Dy_{1.0}Fe_{72.0}Co_{8.0}B_{6.9}Zr_{0.1} + x$ wt.% $\alpha$-Fe (x = 0 - 35) alloys after annealing at 600ºC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>$\mu_0 H_c (T)$</th>
<th>$\mu_0 H_{no} (T)$</th>
<th>$\mu_0 H_r (T)$</th>
<th>$\alpha' = J_r / J(8T)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PrFeB(8)</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PrNdDyFeCoBZr (x=0)</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x = 5 wt.%Fe</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x = 15 wt.%Fe</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x = 25 wt.%Fe</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x = 35 wt.%Fe</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The nucleation field, $H_{no}$, and the remanent coercivity, $H_r$, depend only on the irreversible part of the demagnetisation process. In the case of the PrFeB and PrNdDyFeCoBZr (x = 0) alloys, only one critical field is found: $H_m = H_{no} = H_r$ (see Table 8.1) corresponding to the necessary applied field for irreversible magnetisation reversal. However, there is a difference between the values for both fields for the nanocomposite magnets, with $H_r > H_{no}$ for all of them, and this difference becomes larger with increasing $\alpha$-Fe content. This can be explained considering the mechanism of magnetisation reversal in two-phase magnets [31]: under the action of a reverse field the magnetisation vector of the decoupled soft magnetic phase rotates towards the field. When increasing the reverse field, reversible rotation of the exchange-coupled soft phase will take place until it becomes energetically unfavourable to support the non-uniform magnetisation at the interface [49]. Thus, at a value of the reverse field of $H_m = H_{no}$, the reversible magnetisation of the soft phase provides the additional driving force for reversal of the hard phase, i.e. the magnetisation of the hard magnetic phase undergoes an irreversible change. This can be explained considering that, in addition to the external demagnetising field, there is a further reversing action on the moments of the hard phase that comes from the exchange-coupling to the soft phase [66]. Thus, an irreversible magnetisation reversal will not occur in the hard phase for reverse fields $H_m < H_{no}$. It is necessary, however, to increase further the reverse field, up to $H_m = H_r$, in order to initiate the irreversible rotation of the decoupled or partly-coupled inner hard magnetic moments. Higher reverse fields, $H_m > H_r$, will lead to more magnetic moments of the hard phase contributing to the irreversible change in polarisation, $J_r$, up to a certain applied field ($\mu_0 H_m \approx 4.5$ T for PrFeB; $\mu_0 H_m \approx$
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$4 \text{T for } x = 0 - 15; \mu_0H_m \approx 3.75 \text{T for } x = 25$ and $\mu_0H_m \approx 3.5 \text{T for } x = 35$, as it can be observed on the right hand side of Fig. 8.6). Increased reverse fields lead to a constant value of the irreversible change in polarisation: $J_r^d = J_r$ (Fig. 8.6); thus, the corresponding increase of the total polarisation (containing contributions from both reversible and irreversible processes), $J_s^d$, can be attributed exclusively to reversible changes in the polarisation, $J_{rev}^d$, at those higher applied fields. The explained behaviour is supported by the evolution of the $m_{rev}(H_m)$ curve (Fig. 8.7a). Steeper starting curves are obtained with increasing $\alpha$-Fe content as a result of the larger contribution of the soft phase to the recoverable portion. PrFeB and PrNdDyFeCoBZr ($x = 0$) alloys exhibit the same reversible change in polarisation for fields $H_m < H_{no}$ of the latter, which could explain this contribution to be mainly due to the reversible rotation of the decoupled hard magnetic moments for the single-phase alloy. At $H_m \approx H_{no}$ the most unfavourably aligned magnetic moments (those strongly exchange-coupled in the remanent state, $J_r$), which are responsible for the remanent demagnetisation of the whole sample, begin to irreversibly rotate in the direction of the applied field. All nanocomposite materials ($x = 5 - 35$) exhibit a decreased susceptibility in the $m_{rev}(H_m)$ curve at $H_m \approx H_{no}$ due to the beginning of irreversible rotation of the hard magnetic moments exchanged-coupled to the neighbouring soft magnetic grains. A local maximum is observed when increasing the reverse field up to $H_m \approx H_r$. The immediate decrease in the $m_{rev}$ values is associated to the change of reversible-to-irreversible rotation of the decoupled hard magnetic moments. Once these magnetic moments are irreversibly rotated, further increased reversed fields lead to a progressive increase of the $m_{rev}$ values. This is mainly due to a more parallel alignment of the moments to the applied field with increasing its intensity.

The study of the interactions between the grains during magnetisation reversal can be done by dividing the $J_r^d(H_m)$ curve into two parts: first, starting from the remanence state after saturation, $J_r$, and finishing at $J_r^d(H_r) = 0$; second, starting from this latter remanent state and finishing at $-J_r$ after removing the highest reversal applied field. Considering only the changes in polarisation due to magnetisation reversal and normalising this change in respect to $J_r$, an amount $\delta J$ can be defined as

$$\delta J = \left\{\left[ J_r^m(H_m) - (J_r - J_r^{d1}(H_m)) \right] + \left[ J_r^m(H_m) - (0 - J_r^{d2}(H_m)) \right] \right\} / J_r \quad (8.1)$$
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where $J_r^{d1}(H_m)$ and $J_r^{d2}(H_m)$ are the remanent polarisation values for each of the considered processes. Taking into account that $J_r^{d1}(H_m) + J_r^{d2}(H_m) = J_r^{d}(H_m)$, the following expression results

$$\delta J = \frac{(2J_r^M(H_m) - (J_r - J_r^{d}(H_m)))}{J_r}.$$  

This is exactly the expression shown in Section 3.4.2 for measuring the deviations from the theoretical value for $J_r^{d}(H_m)$ given by $J_r - 2J_r^{d}(H_m)$, corresponding to an assembly of magnetically non-interacting uniaxial single-domain particles, in other words, the deviation from the ideal behaviour according to Wohlfarth’s model. For comparison Fig. 8.8 shows the $\delta J$ plots for PrFeB(8) and PrNdDyFeCoBZr(4) + x wt.% $\alpha$-Fe (x = 0, 5, 15, 25 and 35).

Here, two kinds of interactions are responsible for the deviation, $\delta J$, from Wohlfarth’s relationship (Eq. 3.1): magnetostatic and exchange interactions. It must be noted that in the case of the decoupled ternary alloy, $\delta J$ is exclusively positive. Such behaviour has been interpreted indicative of magnetostatic interactions being dominant between the decoupled hard magnetic grains [52]. In contrast, the base alloy (x = 0) shows an almost symmetrical shape (positive and negative deviations) and the alloys with lower Fe-addition (x = 5 - 15 wt.% $\alpha$-Fe) show positive values of $\delta J$ that become negative after reversal of the hard magnetic grains, indicative of the dominating role of the exchange interactions in these samples although magnetostatic interactions must be also considered. In fact, it can be observed that an increase of the soft magnetic phase content leads to a stronger exchange interaction, with exclusively negative $\delta J$ values for the samples with x = 25 - 35 wt.% $\alpha$-Fe. The final result is a more effective exchange-coupling between the two different magnetic phases, with relative remanences ranging from $\alpha' = 0.66$ for PrNdDyFeCoBZr to 0.74 for PrNdDyFeCoBZr + 35wt.% $\alpha$-Fe. It must be noted, however, that these values for $\alpha'$ can be used only for comparison between the different samples because an applied field of 8 T is not sufficient to saturate them.
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8.3.2 The effect of the annealing temperature (grain size)

The effect of the annealing temperature on the hysteresis and inner recoil loops for Pr$_{9.0}$Nd$_{3.0}$Dy$_{1.0}$Fe$_{72.0}$Co$_{8.0}$B$_{6.9}$Zr$_{0.1}$ can be seen in Fig. 8.9. It must be noted that, by comparison with the sample annealed at 600ºC (see Fig. 8.6(b1)), the recoil loops are now completely closed after annealing at higher temperatures (700 or 800 ºC). This can be due to a significantly reduced content of $\alpha$-Fe in the powders with increasing annealing temperature, with only a residual amount present after annealing at 700 and 800 ºC.
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The reversible reduced portion, $m_{rev}$, and the irreversible susceptibility, $\chi_{irr}$, after annealing at different temperatures are plotted in Fig. 8.10. The faster increase of the starting $m_{rev}$ values after annealing at 600°C can be due to the presence of the remaining exchange-coupled soft magnetic phase. Increased annealing temperatures result in a grain growth effect and, as a consequence, a decreased effectiveness of the exchange-coupling between the grains can be observed (see Table 8.2).

The corresponding values for coercivity, $H_c$, nucleation field, $H_{no}$, and remanent coercivity, $H_r$, after annealing at 600, 700 and 800 °C are listed in Table 8.2. The presence of two maxima in the $\chi_{irr}(H_m^d)$ curve for the sample annealed at 800°C could be due to the

Fig. 8.9: $Pr_{9.0}Nd_{3.0}Dy_{1.0}Fe_{72.0}Co_{8.0}B_{6.9}Zr_{0.1}$ milled and annealed at: (a) $T_a = 700^\circC$; and (b) $T_a = 800^\circC$. Plots of: (left) initial magnetisation and demagnetisation curves including recoil loops; (right) total polarisation during major magnetisation (m) and demagnetisation (d) curves, $J_{s(m/d)}(H_m)$, remanent polarisation, $J_{r(m/d)}(H_m)$, and the difference between both amounts, $J_{rev(m/d)}(H_m)$. 

(a)

(a1) $PrNdDyFeCoBZr$ [ $T_a = 700^\circC$ ]

(a2) $PrNdDyFeCoBZr$ [ $T_a = 700^\circC$ ]

(b)

(b1) $PrNdDyFeCoBZr$ [ $T_a = 800^\circC$ ]

(b2) $PrNdDyFeCoBZr$ [ $T_a = 800^\circC$ ]
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The presence of larger hard magnetic grains. This could explain the slight shoulder observed in the corresponding major demagnetisation curve (see Fig. 8.9(b1)).

Fig. 8.10: (a) Reversible reduced portion, $m_{rev} = J_{rev}^d / J_r$, and (b) irreversible susceptibility defined as $\chi_{irr} = dm_{irr} / dH$ in $T^{-1}$, where $m_{irr}$ is the normalised irreversible polarisation ($m_{irr} = J_{irr} / J_r$), vs. the applied field for Pr$_{9.0}$Nd$_{3.0}$Dy$_{1.0}$Fe$_{72.0}$Co$_{8.0}$B$_{6.9}$Zr$_{0.1}$ ($x = 0$) after annealing at: 600, 700 and 800 °C.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Annealing temp. (°C)</th>
<th>$\mu_0H_c$ (T)</th>
<th>$\mu_0H_{no}$ (T)</th>
<th>$\mu_0H_r$ (T)</th>
<th>$\alpha' = J_r / J(8T)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8.2: Coercivity, $\mu_0H_c$ (T); nucleation field, $\mu_0H_{no}$ (T); remanent coercivity, $\mu_0H_r$ (T); relative remanence, $\alpha' = J_r / J(8T)$, for milled Pr$_{9.0}$Nd$_{3.0}$Dy$_{1.0}$Fe$_{72.0}$Co$_{8.0}$B$_{6.9}$Zr$_{0.1}$ after annealing at different temperatures.

Figure 8.11 shows the $\delta J$ plots for PrNdDyFeCoBZr after the different annealing treatments. An increase of the annealing temperature leads to more positive deviations so that for the sample annealed at 800°C hardly any negative deviations are found, pointing to magnetostatic interactions to be predominant. This is the result of the decreased effectiveness of the exchange-coupling between the grains with increasing mean sizes, consistent with the expectations derived from the earlier described model.

Fig. 8.11: Deviation of demagnetisation remanence, $\delta J$, as function of the field $\mu_0H_m^d$ for as-milled Pr$_{9.0}$Nd$_{3.0}$Dy$_{1.0}$Fe$_{72.0}$Co$_{8.0}$B$_{6.9}$Zr$_{0.1}$ after annealing at: 600, 700 and 800 °C.

The hysteresis loop and inner recoil curves for the nanocomposite material PrNdDyFeCoBZr + 25wt.% $\alpha$-Fe, after annealing at 700 and 800 °C, are shown in Fig. 8.12. It can be observed that the recoil loops measured along the demagnetisation curve
look different from those corresponding to the single-phase magnet (Fig. 8.9). The recoil loops for the nanocomposite magnet after annealing at 600, 700 and 800 °C exhibit comparatively steep recoil loops and they are relatively open due to the presence of the α-(FeCo) soft magnetic phase. An increased annealing temperature results, however, in less open recoil loops due to a decreased exchange-coupling between the different grains as a consequence of a grain growth effect. As it was shown in the XRD patterns of Fig. 6.3 a solid state reaction between the amorphous and nanocrystalline phases is required for crystallisation of the hard phase, and thus undesired grain growth of the soft phase cannot be avoided. The slightly higher coercivity of the sample annealed at 700°C (see Table 8.3)

![Graphs showing analysis of exchange-coupling using δ-J-plots](image)

Fig. 8.12: Pr$_{9.0}$Nd$_{3.0}$Dy$_{1.0}$Fe$_{72.0}$Co$_{8.0}$B$_{6.9}$Zr$_{0.1}$ + 25wt.% α-Fe milled and annealed at: (a) $T_a = 700°C$; and (b) $T_a = 800°C$. Plots of: (left) initial magnetisation and demagnetisation curves including recoil loops; (right) total polarisation during major magnetisation (m) and demagnetisation (d) curves, $J_{s(m/d)}(H_m)$, remanent polarisation, $J_r^{m/d}(H_m)$, and the difference between both amounts, $J_{rev}^{m/d}(H_m)$, which is associated to reversible rotation of the magnetic moments during application of the field $H_m$. 
suggests that complete crystallisation of the hard phase was not completed at 600°C. A decreased relative remanence, $\alpha'$, with increasing annealing temperature is indicative of the reduced exchange-coupling between the soft and the hard magnetic grains (Table 8.3). Grain growth of both, soft and hard magnetic grains, occurs when the annealing temperature is increased but the effective exchange-coupling range between both phases does not change. Considering the grain growth inhibiting effect of Zr on the R$_2$T$_{14}$B phase, a faster increase in size for the soft magnetic grains can be expected when increasing the annealing temperature. Thus, the influence of the reversed magnetic moments of the soft phase will be relatively strong. In this situation, a reversing action on the moments of the hard phase that comes from the exchange-coupling to the soft phase is expected in addition to the applied demagnetising field [66]. This may explain the occurrence of larger $m_{rev}$ values obtained with increasing annealing temperature (Fig. 8.13a), and the decreased critical fields, $H_{no}$ and $H_r$, when increasing the annealing temperature from 700°C (crystallisation of the R$_2$T$_{14}$B phase completed) to 800°C (see Table 8.3). The very large reversible contribution to the total polarisation for the annealing at 800°C can be directly observed in Fig. 8.12(b2) by looking at the corresponding $J_{rev}^d$ values.
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Fig. 8.13: (a) Reversible reduced portion, $m_{\text{rev}} = J_{\text{rev}} / J_r$, and (b) irreversible susceptibility defined as $\chi_{\text{irr}} = d m_{\text{irr}} / dH$ in T$^{-1}$, where $m_{\text{irr}}$ is the normalised irreversible polarisation ($m_{\text{irr}} = J_{\text{irr}} / J_r$), vs. the applied field for Pr$_{9.0}$Nd$_{3.0}$Dy$_{1.0}$Fe$_{72.0}$Co$_{8.0}$B$_{6.9}$Zr$_{0.1}$ + 25wt.% $\alpha$-Fe.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Annealing temp. (°C)</th>
<th>$\mu_0 H_c (T)$</th>
<th>$\mu_0 H_{no} (T)$</th>
<th>$\mu_0 H_r (T)$</th>
<th>$\alpha' = J_r / J(8T)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8.3: Coercivity, $\mu_0 H_c (T)$; nucleation field, $\mu_0 H_{no} (T)$; remanence coercivity, $\mu_0 H_r (T)$; relative remanence, $\alpha' = J_r / J(8T)$, for milled Pr$_{9.0}$Nd$_{3.0}$Dy$_{1.0}$Fe$_{72.0}$Co$_{8.0}$B$_{6.9}$Zr$_{0.1}$ + 25wt.% $\alpha$-Fe after annealing at different temperatures.
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The evolution of $\delta J$ with increasing annealing temperature agrees very well with the previous results, showing a shift to positive values with increasing temperature (Fig. 8.14). Grain growth resulting in a less effective exchange-coupling explains the sign of the deviations from the theoretical value for $J_r^d(H_m)$.

![Diagram](image.png)

Fig. 8.14: Deviation of demagnetisation remanence, $\delta J$, as a function of the field $\mu_0H_m^d$ (T) for milled Pr$_{9.0}$Nd$_{3.0}$Dy$_{1.0}$Fe$_{72.0}$Co$_{8.0}$B$_{6.9}$Zr$_{0.1}$ + 25wt.% Fe after annealing at: 600, 700 and 800°C.

8.3.3 The effect of the measurement temperature

The evolution of the magnetic properties of Pr$_{9.0}$Nd$_{3.0}$Dy$_{1.0}$Fe$_{72.0}$Co$_{8.0}$B$_{6.9}$Zr$_{0.1}$ + x wt.% $\alpha$-Fe (x = 0 and 25) milled and annealed at 600°C in dependence on the temperature was studied in the temperature range from -200 to 200°C. Both exchange-coupled magnets exhibit an enhanced remanence in that temperature range. Figure 8.15 shows the temperature dependence of (a) the remanence, (b) the coercivity and (c) the energy product $(BH)_{max}$. An increased content of $\alpha$-Fe results in higher remanences but also in a better temperature stability of the remanence. Significantly smaller coercivities are obtained when increasing the $\alpha$-Fe fraction but, on the other side, the thermal stability of the coercivity is largely improved. The energy product $(BH)_{max}$ takes higher values for the
nanocomposite magnet (x = 25) in the whole temperature range due to the superior remanences exhibited by this latter material. The difference in \( (BH)_{\text{max}} \) between both materials becomes smaller with increasing temperature because of the low \( \mu_0H_c \) values. Similar tendencies were obtained by Goll et al [16] for a series of exchange-coupled PrFeB-based alloys produced by melt-spinning.
The absence of spin reorientation in PrFeB makes it interesting to study the differences between the magnetic properties at room temperature and at low temperatures in more detail. The corresponding major demagnetisation curves for PrNdDyFeCoBZr and PrNdDyFeCoBZr + 25 wt.% α-Fe are shown in Fig. 8.16. The increase of the anisotropy field and the coercivity of the hard magnetic phase with decreasing temperature is clearly reflected in the evolution of the plotted data. The anomalous behaviour of the nanocomposite magnet at low temperatures must be noted [16]. The slight shoulder present in the demagnetisation curves, for temperatures lower than −100°C, is indicative of a two-step demagnetisation behaviour which can be explained considering the temperature dependence of the exchange length. As it was already mentioned in Section 3.1.2, an optimum grain size of the soft magnetic phase must be of the order of \( \delta_w \approx \pi(A/K_1)^{1/2} \) for an effective exchange-coupling between the hard and the soft magnetic grains [32,36]. The increase of \( K_1 \) with decreasing temperature leads to a smaller value of \( \delta_w \) (see Fig. 4.6) and, as a consequence, there is a shift of the grain size necessary for an effective exchange-coupling to smaller values. The result is that the larger soft grains will not be as well coupled as they are at room temperature. In this way, the first step in the demagnetisation curve would correspond to the larger soft magnetic grains which reverse independently, whereas the second step, at larger fields, would be due to the reversal of the exchange-coupled grains.

![Fig. 8.16: Demagnetisation curves of milled and annealed (Ta = 600°C) Pr\(_{9.0}\)Nd\(_{3.0}\)Dy\(_{1.0}\)Fe\(_{72.0}\)Co\(_{8.0}\)B\(_{6.9}\)Zr\(_{0.1}\) (left) and Pr\(_{9.0}\)Nd\(_{3.0}\)Dy\(_{1.0}\)Fe\(_{72.0}\)Co\(_{8.0}\)B\(_{6.9}\)Zr\(_{0.1}\) + 25wt.% α-Fe (right) measured at different temperatures.](image)
In order to understand the temperature dependence of the “exchange-spring” behaviour, inner recoil loops during demagnetisation have been measured at –200ºC (see Fig. 8.17) and compared with those measured at 20ºC (see Fig. 8.6). Recoil loops for Pr$_{9.0}$Nd$_{3.0}$Dy$_{1.0}$Fe$_{72.0}$Co$_{8.0}$B$_{6.9}$Zr$_{0.1}$ are completely closed at –200ºC whereas they are slightly open at 20ºC. This can be explained by considering the small amount of soft magnetic phase present in the sample in combination with the reduction in the exchange length with decreasing temperature. The result is a decreased volume fraction of the exchange-coupled hard/soft regions (in addition to that of the hard/hard regions) obtaining

(a)

(b)

Fig. 8.17: Measurements at –200ºC of Pr$_{9.0}$Nd$_{3.0}$Dy$_{1.0}$Fe$_{72.0}$Co$_{8.0}$B$_{6.9}$Zr$_{0.1}$ (top) and Pr$_{9.0}$Nd$_{3.0}$Dy$_{1.0}$Fe$_{72.0}$Co$_{8.0}$B$_{6.9}$Zr$_{0.1}$ + 25 wt.%Fe (bottom) milled and annealed at T$_{a}$=600ºC. Plots of: (left) initial magnetisation and demagnetisation curves including recoil loops; (right) total polarisation during major magnetisation (m) and demagnetisation (d) curves, $J_r^{(m/d)}(H_m)$, remanent polarisation, $J_r^{(m/d)}(H_m)$, and the difference between both amounts, $J_{rev}^{(m/d)}(H_m)$, which is associated to reversible rotation of the magnetic moments during application of the field $H_m^d$.  
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the characteristic closed recoil loops observed for single-phase magnets (as those observed for this material after annealing at 700°C (Fig. 8.12(a1)), in that case due to the complete solution of the residual soft phase in the R$_2$T$_{14}$B). In the case of the nanocomposite Pr$_{9.0}$Nd$_{3.0}$Dy$_{1.0}$Fe$_{72.0}$Co$_{8.0}$B$_{6.9}$Zr$_{0.1}$ + 25wt.% $\alpha$-Fe powders, recoil loops at −200°C are less open than those at 20°C due to the mentioned reduction of the exchange length with decreasing temperature.

Additionally, it must be noted that, first, an applied field of 8 T is not sufficient to saturate the samples and, second, the remanent polarisation during magnetisation, $J_{r\,m}$, keeps increasing significantly at the highest applied field. This means that there exists a remarkable difference to the measurements performed at 20°C where $J_{r\,m}$ was saturated for applied fields significantly lower than 8 T (see Figs. 8.6b,e). The conclusion is that, after applying a field of 8 T at −200°C, there is still a significant fraction of magnetic moments which have not been irreversibly rotated into the field direction.

The field dependences of the $m_{\text{rev}} = J_{\text{rev}} / J_r$ curves derived from the recoil curves at −200 and 20 °C are shown in Fig. 8.18. The $m_{\text{rev}}$ values for the single alloy at −200°C are lower than those at 20°C for any reversed applied field. This behaviour can be understood considering the increase of the anisotropy constant $K_f$ of the hard magnetic phase with decreasing temperature: a reduction in $\delta_w$ leads to a larger contribution of the soft phase to the reversible portion but, on the other hand, it causes a large increase of the anisotropy field. This second effect is predominant at any applied field due to the small amount of soft magnetic phase present in the sample. The decreased exchange length leads to partly decoupled regions in the hard magnetic grains which can be irreversibly reversed at lower fields than the exchange-coupled regions. This would explain the fact that the remanent coercivity, $H_r$, is lower than the corresponding nucleation field for irreversible rotation of the exchange-coupled hard phase, $H_{\text{no}}$ (see Table 8.4). In contrast to the behaviour observed for the single-phase alloy, an increase of the maximum value of the $m_{\text{rev}}$ curve is observed for Pr$_{9.0}$Nd$_{3.0}$Dy$_{1.0}$Fe$_{72.0}$Co$_{8.0}$B$_{6.9}$Zr$_{0.1}$ + 25wt.% $\alpha$-Fe with decreasing temperature. It must be noted by comparison with the single phase alloy, first, a smaller difference between the corresponding $m_{\text{rev}}$ values at −200°C and those at 20°C for low reversed fields; second, the $m_{\text{rev}}$ values at low temperature become larger than those at 20°C at $\mu_0 H_m = 1$ T. This is attributed to a larger fraction of Fe moments in the soft grains.
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participating in the reversible portion for reverse fields smaller than the switching field of the hard phase. Thus, beginning of irreversible rotation is expected to take place first in the exchange-coupled regions. In fact, determination of the critical fields, $H_{no}$ and $H_r$, shows in good agreement that $H_{no} < H_r$ (see Table 8.4).

Fig. 8.18: Measurements at -200°C and 20°C of (a) Pr$_{9.0}$Nd$_{3.0}$Dy$_{1.0}$Fe$_{72.0}$Co$_{8.0}$B$_{6.9}$Zr$_{0.1}$ and (b) Pr$_{9.0}$Nd$_{3.0}$Dy$_{1.0}$Fe$_{72.0}$Co$_{8.0}$B$_{6.9}$Zr$_{0.1}$ + 25wt.% $\alpha$-Fe milled and annealed at T$_{a}$=600°C. Top: reversible reduced portion, $m_{rev} = J_{rev} / J_r$, and bottom: irreversible susceptibility defined as $\chi_{irr} = dm_{irr} / dH$ in T$^{-1}$, where $m_{irr}$ is the normalised irreversible polarisation ($m_{irr} = J_{irr} / J_r$), vs. the applied reverse field.

Table 8.4: Measurements at -200°C of coercivity, $\mu_0H_c$ (T); nucleation field, $\mu_0H_{no}$ (T) and remanence coercivity, $\mu_0H_r$ (T), for milled and annealed (600°C) Pr$_{9.0}$Nd$_{3.0}$Dy$_{1.0}$Fe$_{72.0}$Co$_{8.0}$B$_{6.9}$Zr$_{0.1}$ and Pr$_{9.0}$Nd$_{3.0}$Dy$_{1.0}$Fe$_{72.0}$Co$_{8.0}$B$_{6.9}$Zr$_{0.1}$ + 25wt.% $\alpha$-Fe powders.
8.4 Summary

The $\delta J$ plots for Pr$_{9.0}$Nd$_{3.0}$Dy$_{1.0}$Fe$_{72.0}$Co$_{8.0}$B$_{6.9}$Zr$_{0.1}$ and Pr$_{9.0}$Nd$_{3.0}$Dy$_{1.0}$Fe$_{72.0}$Co$_{8.0}$B$_{6.9}$Zr$_{0.1}$ + 25wt.% $\alpha$-Fe at $-200^\circ$C are shown, together with those at $20^\circ$C for comparison, in Figs. 8.19a,b, respectively. An expected shift of the curves to higher values of the reversed field is observed when the temperature is decreased. The almost symmetrical shape of the $\delta J$ curve for the single-phase alloy at $20^\circ$C is deteriorated at $-200^\circ$C resulting in smaller negative values for $\delta J$. This points to a lower contribution of the exchange interactions mainly due to the reduced exchange-coupling resulting from the temperature dependence of the exchange length. The same effect on $\delta J$ has been also found for the nanocomposite material. Additionally, a local minimum can be observed at $-200^\circ$C for low reversed fields which is not present at $20^\circ$C. This could be interpreted as the result of the combined effects of magnetostatic and exchange interactions, the first ones starting to play a significant role at the lower temperature as a consequence of the reduced exchange-coupling between the different grains.

Fig. 8.19: Deviation of demagnetisation remanence, $\delta J$, as function of the applied field for milled and annealed (600°C) Pr$_{9.0}$Nd$_{3.0}$Dy$_{1.0}$Fe$_{72.0}$Co$_{8.0}$B$_{6.9}$Zr$_{0.1}$ and Pr$_{9.0}$Nd$_{3.0}$Dy$_{1.0}$Fe$_{72.0}$Co$_{8.0}$B$_{6.9}$Zr$_{0.1}$ + 25wt.% $\alpha$-Fe powders measured at $-200$ and $20^\circ$C.

8.4 Summary

The important differences in the magnetisation behaviour of decoupled and exchange-coupled (nearly single-phase and two-phase) materials have been studied by comparison of their respective magnetisation curves.
8.4 Summary

An analysis of the initial magnetisation curves for milled-and-annealed thermally demagnetised samples has shown significant differences depending on the microstructure. An inhomogeneous microstructure consisting of large and small grains for a decoupled ternary PrFeB alloy has been shown to be responsible for a complicated magnetisation process. A fraction of grains in a multi-domain state as well as grains in a single-domain state could be the reason for an observed two-step magnetisation process. The optimally annealed exchanged-coupled materials have exhibited, however, a more simple shape of the initial magnetisation curve. A very homogeneous microstructure consisting of very fine grains with sizes below the critical single-domain size makes definitely not possible to attribute that behaviour to classical domain-wall processes but interaction domains may, however, be relevant.

Recoil loops of the nanocomposite magnets are relatively open whereas those of the Pr-rich and single-phase alloys are closed. Open recoil loops result from the reversible rotation of the exchange-coupled soft phase for fields not large enough to reverse the magnetisation of the hard magnetic phase. These remanence enhanced nanocomposites consisting of hard and soft phases exhibit exchange-spring magnet behaviour, namely, high values of reversible susceptibility for magnetic fields below coercivity along the demagnetisation curve. An analysis of the deviations, $\delta J$, from the theoretical value for the remanent polarisation given by Wohlfarth’s relation gives information on the intergrain interactions during the demagnetisation process. Plots of $\delta J$ when varying the $\alpha$-Fe content show that an increased amount of soft magnetic phase, effectively exchange-coupled to the hard phase, results in more negative $\delta J$ values, indicative of the stronger exchange interactions. In contrast, a decoupled ternary alloy exhibits exclusively positive $\delta J$ values which could be associated to dominant magnetostatic interactions between the hard magnetic grains. The effect of the annealing temperature and the measurement temperature show good agreement with the given description for the evolution of $\delta J$. Increased annealing temperatures result in reduced negative deviations, pointing to magnetostatic interactions to be predominant, as a result of the decreased effectiveness of the exchange-coupling between the grains with increasing mean sizes. A similar effect is observed by decreasing the measurement temperature as a consequence of the reduction of the exchange length which results in a decreased exchange-coupling.
9 Reactive milling in hydrogen

Gas-solid reactions of R-T compounds with hydrogen are an alternative route to mechanical alloying, intensive milling or rapid quenching for the preparation of amorphous or nanocrystalline materials [5]. The HDDR process is well established as a method for production of highly coercive Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B and Sm$_2$Fe$_{17}$N$_y$ magnets [101,111,112]. Recent studies have been focused on HDDR PrFeB-type processed alloys [113-115]. The HDDR process is based on a reversible hydrogen-induced phase transformation as described in Section 5.1.3. The reactive milling procedure consists of ball milling at enhanced hydrogen pressure and temperature; this is followed by vacuum annealing to ensure the full desorption of hydrogen and a recombination of the intermetallic phase.

Nd forms dihydrides with fcc structure. The absorption of extra hydrogen forms the trihydride without any change of structure [116]. The absorption of hydrogen by the Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B phase results in the Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$BH$_x$ hydride. The hydride retains the same structure as the original Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B starting material when moderate charging conditions are employed. An isotropic expansion in the $a$ and $c$ directions is inferred from the fact that the $c/a$ value for the hydride remains the same as that for the original structure [78,117].

This study begins with the analysis of the structural changes after different stages of Pr$_{14.7}$Fe$_{77.3}$B$_{8.0}$ (alloy (8)) processed by reactive milling. The magnetic properties on desorption are shown and compared with the properties of the alloy after milling in Ar and subsequent annealing (see Section 6.1.3).

In the second part, Nd$_2$(Fe,Co)$_{14}$B-based alloys processed by this technique are studied. When Fe is substituted by Co in the R$_2$T$_{14}$B phase, the absorption of hydrogen is less, which can be attributed to a higher stability of the Nd$_2$Co$_{14}$B compound and more specifically to a decrease of the unit cell volume. Consistent with this reduced amount of absorbed hydrogen, Fujita and Harris [78] observed that high Co content compounds exhibit a smaller lattice expansion (see Fig. 9.1). They produced the hydrides by heating under a hydrogen pressure of 1 bar until absorption was completed and then cooled to room temperature. It can be observed again that the $c/a$ value for the hydride remains approximately the same as that for the original structure.
The main problem of substituting Fe by Co is the thermodynamic stabilisation of the parent compound which essentially makes the disproportionation by hydrogen absorption and thus, the application of the conventional-HDDR process, impossible [78]. Figure 9.2 shows by means of a temperature-pressure-analysis (TPA) that in the case of more than 70% Co substitution, there is no evidence of a disproportionation reaction.

Fig. 9.1: Dependence of the lattice parameters of the $\text{Nd}_2(\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{Co}_x)_{14}\text{B}$ compounds and their hydrides on Co content. Circles and squares represent the $a$ and $c$ parameters of the 2:14:1 tetragonal unit cell, respectively. Solid circles and squares are those of the hydrides. Diamonds are the number of absorbed hydrogen atoms per formula unit, evaluated from the difference of the pressure before and after absorption (from Fujita and Harris [78]).

Fig. 9.2: Hydrogen pressure changes in the sample chamber of a TPA system for $\text{Nd}_2(\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{Co}_x)_{14}\text{B}$ ingots as a function of temperature (from Fujita and Harris [78]).
Compounds such as Sm$_2$Co$_{17}$ and Sm$_2$Fe$_{17-x}$Ga$_x$ (0 \leq x \leq 2), which are thermodynamically more stable than the Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B and Sm$_2$Fe$_{17}$, can be disproportionated by reactive milling [101,102] and high-hydrogen-pressure disproportionation [118,119].

**9.1 Reactive milling of a Pr$_{14.7}$Fe$_{77.3}$B$_{8.0}$ alloy**

A compound with the starting composition Pr$_{14.7}$Fe$_{77.3}$B$_{8.0}$ has been reactively milled and subsequently annealed under different conditions to analyse the evolution of the different phases during the recombination process. Structural changes and the evolution of magnetic properties on desorption have been studied. Similar studies performed on the same alloy but processed by the intensive milling technique (see Sections 6.2 and 6.3) allow to make a comparison of the magnetic properties of the resulting powders after annealing.

**9.1.1 Structural changes throughout the different processing stages**

Reactive milling of Pr$_{14.7}$Fe$_{77.3}$B$_{8.0}$ for 20 h leads to the disproportionation of the Pr$_2$Fe$_{14}$B phase into a mixture of PrH$_{2+\delta}$ and bcc-Fe. Additionally, a small amount of Pr$_{1.1}$Fe$_4$B$_4$ is observed throughout the different processing stages in the XRD patterns of Fig. 9.3. Subsequent annealing at 450°C for 10 min is not sufficient for the recombination reaction to take place. The hydrogen desorption of the disproportionated material was observed by monitoring the pressure during heating the sample at a rate of 10 Kmin$^{-1}$ in vacuum and under continuous pumping. The desorption behaviour is shown in Fig. 9.4. The low temperature peak (A) is attributed to the desorption of the over-stoichiometric hydrogen of PrH$_{2+\delta}$. The onset temperature $T_s$ (indicated by an arrow) of the recombination reaction (peak B) is about 525°C. In good agreement with this last result, annealing at 600°C for 10 min gives rise to the beginning of the desorption and simultaneous recombination of the Pr$_2$Fe$_{14}$B phase. The corresponding XRD pattern (Fig. 9.3) shows the coexistence of PrH$_{2+\delta}$, bcc-Fe and Pr$_2$Fe$_{14}$B (Pr$_{1.1}$Fe$_4$B$_4$ cannot be distinguished due to the overlapping of the corresponding intensity peaks with those of Pr$_2$Fe$_{14}$B). Annealing at higher temperatures or longer times than 30 min at 600°C results in the complete recombination of the material to the original phase.
9.1 Reactive milling of a PrFeB alloy

Fig. 9.3: XRD patterns of Pr$_{14.7}$Fe$_{77.3}$B$_{8.0}$ after different processing steps: milled in hydrogen and milled-and-annealed in vacuum at various temperatures / times. Markers indicate the main reflection peaks of PrH$_{2+\delta}$ (circles), $\alpha$-Fe (triangles) and Pr$_{1.1}$Fe$_4$B$_4$ (arrows). The non-labelled peaks correspond to the Pr$_2$Fe$_{14}$B phase.

Fig. 9.4: Desorption behaviour of reactively milled Pr$_{14.7}$Fe$_{77.3}$B$_{8.0}$ powders on heating in vacuum (10 Kmin$^{-1}$ under continuous pumping); the first peak (A) corresponds to the desorption of the over-stoichiometric hydrogen from PrH$_{2+\delta}$ and the arrow indicates the onset temperature of the recombination reaction (B), $T_s$. 
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9.1.2 Evolution of magnetic properties on desorption

The highest coercivity, $\mu_0H_c = 1.40$ T, is achieved after annealing at 700°C due to a complete recombination of the Pr$_2$Fe$_{14}$B without any residual amount of PrH$_{2+\delta}$ or bcc-Fe detected by XRD. Figure 9.5 shows the initial magnetisation and demagnetisation curves after annealing at three different temperatures. Table 9.1 shows the magnetic properties for Pr$_{14.7}$Fe$_{77.3}$B$_{8.0}$ processed by reactive milling in hydrogen and intensive milling in argon, after annealing at 600, 700 and 800 °C. The differences in coercivity between the two different processing routes can be attributed to the effect of the extreme conditions used during reactive milling on the final nanostructure. Further investigations must be undertaken in this way. It must be remarked the absence of any oxide after the different processing steps.

Fig. 9.5: Initial magnetisation and demagnetisation curves of reactively milled and recombined Pr$_{14.7}$Fe$_{77.3}$B$_{8.0}$ powders after annealing at 600, 700 and 800 °C (introduced into the pre-heated furnace).
### 9.2 Reactive milling of Nd(Fe,Co)B alloys

Stoichiometric Nd$_2$(Fe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$)$_{14}$B alloys (x = 0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75 and 1) have been reactively milled. The main aim is the disproportionation of the thermodynamically very stable alloys (those with high Co content) via this modified “extreme” HDDR process.

#### 9.2.1 Microstructural characterisation of starting alloys

Figure 9.6 shows the XRD patterns of Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B and Nd$_2$Co$_{14}$B in the as-cast and homogenized states. In the as-cast state, intensity peaks of bcc-Fe and Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B phases are observed for the former alloy, and fcc-Co, Nd$_2$Co$_{14}$B and some minority phases for the latter one. The presence of fcc-Co in this latter alloy (instead of the hcp phase) can be ascribed to a non-equilibrium process taking place during fabrication of the alloy. Identification of the minority phases is difficult due to the low intensity of the reflections and their overlapping. After homogenisation, only the R$_2$T$_{14}$B phase is observed for both samples. The patterns of the three intermediate alloys (x = 0.25, 0.5 and 0.75) in the as-cast form show intensity peaks of a soft magnetic FeCo-phase and the hard magnetic R$_2$T$_{14}$B phase, besides minority phases, and again after homogenisation only the R$_2$T$_{14}$B phase. This is in good agreement with the corresponding SEM results which show, in addition to the mentioned phases for the as-cast alloys, a Nd-rich phase in the case of the two first samples (x = 0 and 0.25), again a Nd-rich phase and Nd(Fe,Co)$_3$ for the alloys where 50 and 75 at.% Fe are substituted, and NdCo$_3$ and some tiny spots of fcc-Co for Nd$_2$Co$_{14}$B.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Processing route</th>
<th>$\mu_0H_c (T)$</th>
<th>$J_c(T)$</th>
<th>$(BH)_{max} (kJ/m^3)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RM + 600°C/30min</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RM + 700°C/30min</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.40</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.75</strong></td>
<td><strong>95</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RM + 800°C/30min</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IM + 600°C/30min</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.81</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.75</strong></td>
<td><strong>97</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IM + 700°C/30min</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IM + 800°C/30min</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 9.1: Comparison of magnetic properties for reactively milled (RM)-and-recombined Pr$_{14.7}$Fe$_{77.3}$B$_{8.0}$ powders (top) and intensively milled (IM)-and-annealed Pr$_{14.7}$Fe$_{77.3}$B$_{8.0}$ powders (bottom). Optimum processing conditions are marked in bold.*
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![XRD patterns of Nd\textsubscript{2}Fe\textsubscript{14}B and Nd\textsubscript{2}Co\textsubscript{14}B in the as-cast (i) and homogenised (ii) states.](image)

Fig. 9.6: XRD patterns of Nd\textsubscript{2}Fe\textsubscript{14}B and Nd\textsubscript{2}Co\textsubscript{14}B in the as-cast (i) and homogenised (ii) states. Reflections of bcc-Fe (•) and fcc-Co (▼), besides some minority phases for Nd\textsubscript{2}Co\textsubscript{14}B (∇), can be seen in the patterns of the as-cast samples. After homogenisation, only 2:14:1 peaks are present.

As an example, the SEM micrographs in the backscattered mode of the Nd\textsubscript{2}Fe\textsubscript{14}B, Nd\textsubscript{2}(Fe\textsubscript{0.5}Co\textsubscript{0.5})\textsubscript{14}B and Nd\textsubscript{2}Co\textsubscript{14}B alloys in the as-cast state and after homogenisation are shown in Fig. 9.7. The first micrograph (Fig. 9.7a) shows the typical view for a stoichiometric Nd\textsubscript{2}Fe\textsubscript{14}B-type alloy in the as-cast condition [78,117]: significant amounts of primary Fe dendrites (1) and Nd-rich material (2) within the 2:14:1 matrix phase (3). After homogenisation (see Fig. 9.7b) there is practically only 2:14:1 phase, with a small residual amount of free α-Fe. The microstructures of the as-cast and homogenised Nd\textsubscript{2}(Fe\textsubscript{0.5}Co\textsubscript{0.5})\textsubscript{14}B and Nd\textsubscript{2}Co\textsubscript{14}B alloys are shown in the micrographs of Figs. 9.7c-f. In the high magnification micrographs of the as-cast sample, the different phases present are indicated. It is interesting to note that now the free Co is not localised as dendrites, like bcc-Fe in the case of the Nd\textsubscript{2}Fe\textsubscript{14}B alloy. Additionally, the volume fraction of the free Fe or FeCo is significantly decreased with increasing the Co-content. In this way, it is not surprising that the homogenisation works better for the Nd\textsubscript{2}Co\textsubscript{14}B alloy: 2:14:1 phase and only a really insignificant amount of free Co (not detected by X-rays) can be observed (Fig. 9.7f).
9.2 Reactive milling of Nd(Fe,Co)B alloys

(a) Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B as-cast

(b) Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B homogenised

(c) Nd$_2$(Fe$_{0.5}$Co$_{0.5}$)$_{14}$B as-cast

(d) Nd$_2$(Fe$_{0.5}$Co$_{0.5}$)$_{14}$B homogenised
Fig. 9.7: SEM micrographs of (a) Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B, (b) Nd$_2$(Fe$_{0.5}$Co$_{0.5}$)$_{14}$B and (c) Nd$_2$Co$_{14}$B in the as-cast and homogenised states. Phases distinguishable by EDX analysis in the as-cast condition: (a) Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B: Fe dendrites (1), Nd-rich phase (2) and 2:14:1 matrix phase (3); (c) Nd$_2$(Fe$_{0.5}$Co$_{0.5}$)$_{14}$B: bcc-(Fe,Co) (A), Nd$_2$(Fe,Co)$_{14}$B (B), Nd(Fe,Co)$_3$ (C) and a Nd-rich phase (D); (e) Nd$_2$Co$_{14}$B: fcc-Co (E), Nd$_2$Co$_{14}$B (F) and NdCo$_3$ (G). After homogenisation (see b,d and f) only 2:14:1 phase, with a small residual amount of free Fe or FeCo (dark regions), can be detected.

9.2.2 Effect of Co-content on density and Curie temperature

The dependence of the density and the Curie temperature of Nd$_2$(Fe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$)$_{14}$B on Co concentration can be seen in Fig. 9.8, where the results from the two different methods, thermal demagnetisation and magnetic balance, have been plotted. These Curie temperature values are in good agreement with other studies [80,81], and it can be seen that it rises from $T_C = 312^\circ$C for Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B to 722$^\circ$C for Nd$_2$Co$_{14}$B.
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![Graph showing the dependence of density and Curie temperature on Co concentration.](image)

Fig. 9.8: Dependence of the density (squares) and the Curie temperature of Nd\(_2\)(Fe\(_{1-x}\)Co\(_x\))\(_{14}\)B on Co concentration. Curie temperatures measured by thermal demagnetisation (circles) and magnetic balance (triangles) are plotted.

### 9.2.3 Disproportionation by reactive milling

Figure 9.9 shows the XRD patterns of the reactively milled powders. It can be seen that the disproportionation reaction is completed after 20 h of milling in hydrogen for the Nd\(_2\)Fe\(_{14}\)B alloy. This milling time was not sufficient to completely disproportionate the other four alloys, illustrating the higher thermodynamic stability of the Co-substituted alloys. In the XRD patterns of 20 h reactively milled Nd\(_2\)(Fe\(_0.5\)Co\(_0.5\))\(_{14}\)B and Nd\(_2\)Co\(_{14}\)B some residual amount of 2:14:1 phase can be detected (higher for the latter one). The reaction is completed for the five alloys, x = 0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75 and 1, after 20, 25, 30, 35 and 40 hours of milling, respectively. With increasing Co content a shrinkage of the 2:14:1 unit cell is observed [80] and this could affect hydrogen diffusional processes in the bulk as well as the thermodynamic stability of the R\(_2\)T\(_{14}\)B compound. The increased stability of the Nd\(_2\)(Fe,Co)\(_{14}\)B parent compounds [78,120] shifts the balance in the equation for the disproportionation (Eq. (5.1)) into the direction of the starting material. Therefore, the reaction with hydrogen, i.e. disproportionation, is less likely. Broad reflections of NdH\(_{2+6}\) and bcc-Fe, bcc-FeCo or fcc-Co are present in the case of Nd\(_2\)Fe\(_{14}\)B, the three intermediate alloys and Nd\(_2\)Co\(_{14}\)B, respectively (see Fig. 9.9). The grain sizes of the disproportionated materials were estimated by HRTEM to be < 10 nm which is significantly smaller than those of conventionally HDDR processed NdFeB materials [121].
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![XRD patterns of disproportionated Nd$_2$(Fe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$)$_{14}$B (x = 0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75 and 1) after optimum milling times (20, 25, 30, 35 and 40 hours, respectively). Reflections of NdH$_{2+\delta}$ (○), bcc-Fe (◆), bcc-(FeCo) (●) and fcc-Co (▼) are shown. XRD patterns of Nd$_2$(Fe$_{0.5}$Co$_{0.5}$)$_{14}$B and Nd$_2$Co$_{14}$B after 20 h of milling show some residual amount of 2:14:1 phase (indicated by arrows).](image)

### 9.2.4 Desorption and recombination

The desorption behaviour of reactively milled Nd$_2$(Fe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$)$_{14}$B powders with x = 0 (20 h), x = 0.25 (25 h), x = 0.5 (30 h), x = 0.75 (35 h) and x = 1 (40 h) on heating in vacuum, is shown in Fig. 9.10. In all cases the low temperature peaks (A) are attributed to the desorption of the over-stoichiometric hydrogen of NdH$_{2+\delta}$. As expected from thermodynamic considerations, a decrease in the onset temperature $T_s$ (indicated by arrows) of the recombination reaction (B) is observed for the Co-containing compounds, indicative of their higher thermodynamic stability compared to the Fe based alloy. In this way, $T_s$ decreases from 554°C for Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B to 460°C for Nd$_2$Co$_{14}$B. A very low desorption temperature of 380°C for the 20 h milled Nd$_2$Co$_{14}$B sample was observed, which is attributed to the incomplete disproportionation resulting in nucleation centers of the
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original R\textsubscript{2}T\textsubscript{14}B phase for the recombination reaction. It is also interesting to note that the recombination reaction of the reactively milled Nd\textsubscript{2}Fe\textsubscript{14}B sample takes place at lower temperatures compared to conventionally disproportionated stoichiometric NdFeB material [122]. This could be attributed firstly to the much finer grain size of the reactively milled sample leading to a higher amount of interfaces between NdH\textsubscript{2+x}, bcc-Fe and 2:14:1, i.e. more effective sites for the initiation of the recombination reaction and, secondly, to a generally larger and more activated surface area.

![Diagram](image)

**Fig. 9.10:** Desorption behaviour of reactively milled Nd\textsubscript{2}(Fe\textsubscript{1-x}Co\textsubscript{x})\textsubscript{14}B powders (x = 0 (20 h), 0.25 (25 h), 0.5 (30 h), 0.75 (35 h) and 1 (40 h)) on heating in vacuum (10 Kmin\textsuperscript{-1}); the first peak (A) corresponds to the desorption of the over-stoichiometric hydrogen from NdH\textsubscript{2+x}. Arrows indicate the onset temperature of the recombination reaction (B), \(T_s\).

The XRD patterns of the reactively milled and annealed Nd\textsubscript{2}Fe\textsubscript{14}B and Nd\textsubscript{2}Co\textsubscript{14}B materials show that both can be recombined successfully to the original phases, now with reflections of minor amounts of bcc-Fe and fcc-Co, respectively (see Fig. 9.11). Lower annealing temperatures lead to broadened diffraction peaks indicative of smaller grain sizes. In addition, it should be pointed out that Nd\textsubscript{2}Co\textsubscript{14}B can be recombined at...
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temperatures as low as 550°C whereas for the former material a minimum temperature of 600°C is required.

Fig. 9.11: XRD patterns of (a) Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B and (b) Nd$_2$Co$_{14}$B powders recombined by annealing in vacuum for 30 min at various temperatures. Reflections of bcc-Fe (●), for the former alloy, and fcc-Co (▼), for the latter one, are shown.

9.2.5 Average grain size

The grain sizes of the recombined materials, determined from XRD patterns, are shown in Fig. 9.12. It can be seen that they are in the range of 40-50 nm for a 600°C
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Annealing – approximately in the same range as for mechanically alloyed materials but an order of magnitude smaller than those of conventionally HDDR processed alloys [123]. An increasing recombination temperature leads to grain growth consistent with the smaller half peak widths visible in Fig. 9.11. The slightly smaller grain sizes of around 40 nm for Nd$_2$Co$_{14}$B, compared to approximately 50 nm for the Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B alloy when recombining at 600°C, could be attributed to an increased number of nucleation centers and/or changed grain growth kinetics of the Nd$_2$Co$_{14}$B phase on desorption.

![Graph showing average grain sizes of recombined Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B and Nd$_2$Co$_{14}$B powders in dependence on the recombination temperature.]

*Fig. 9.12: Average grain sizes of recombined Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B and Nd$_2$Co$_{14}$B powders in dependence on the recombination temperature.*

### 9.2.6 Remanence enhancement of recombined Nd(Fe,Co)B powders

Hysteresis loops of the Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B and Nd$_2$Co$_{14}$B powders recombined at different temperatures exhibit a good squareness and a magnetic single-phase behaviour. Figure 9.13 shows the initial magnetisation and demagnetisation curves of both samples after heat treatment at 600°C. The remanences of the recombined Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B and Nd$_2$Co$_{14}$B powders in dependence on the recombination temperature are presented in Fig. 9.14.
Fig. 9.13: Initial magnetisation curves and demagnetisation curves of reactively milled and recombined \( \text{Nd}_2\text{Fe}_{14}\text{B} \) (straight line) and \( \text{Nd}_2\text{Co}_{14}\text{B} \) (dashed line) powders after heat treatment at 600°C.

Fig. 9.14: Remanence of recombined \( \text{Nd}_2\text{Fe}_{14}\text{B} \) and \( \text{Nd}_2\text{Co}_{14}\text{B} \) powders in dependence on the recombination temperature.

The polarisation values at 8 T measured for these two alloys are 1.47 T in the case of the former material, and 1.14 T for the latter one. The 8 T polarisation is lower than the saturation polarisation \( J_s \) (1.58 T for \( \text{Nd}_2\text{Fe}_{14}\text{B} \) and 1.24 T for \( \text{Nd}_2\text{Co}_{14}\text{B} \) [81]) because of insufficient magnetic fields but, possibly, also because of a relatively high volume fraction of grain boundaries in the finely milled material. \( \text{Nd}_2\text{Fe}_{14}\text{B} \) shows a maximum remanence
of $J_r = 0.91$ T after a 700°C annealing, whereas Nd$_2$Co$_{14}$B has its maximum of $J_r = 0.75$ T at 550°C. Both values are significantly higher than the respective theoretical value $J_s / 2$ (indicated by dotted lines) assumed for an assembly of randomly oriented magnetically uniaxial single-domain particles. This observation is attributed to a microstructure controlled remanence enhancement caused by exchange-coupling (Section 3.1.1). A comparison of the $J_r / J_s$ values for the recombined Co- and Fe-containing alloys shows that this effect is more effective for the Nd$_2$Co$_{14}$B recombined alloy, possibly due to the slightly smaller grain size. The effect of remanence enhancement becomes more pronounced with decreasing recombination temperature in the case of the Nd$_2$Co$_{14}$B alloy whereas a slight maximum is observed for the Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B alloy. This maximum at 700°C can be explained by the superposition of two effects in the event of increasing recombination temperature: the higher amount of bcc-Fe (see Fig. 9.11a) leading to a higher saturation magnetisation (and, consequently, to a higher remanence) and, secondly, grain growth which has a negative effect on the remanence enhancement. In the case of Nd$_2$Co$_{14}$B, the increase in the intensity of the fcc-Co peak with increasing recombination temperature is very small (Fig. 9.11b) and the remanence depends mainly on grain size which increases with increasing recombination temperature.

9.3 Summary

The application of the “extreme” HDDR method of reactive milling in hydrogen and subsequent desorption allows, for the first time, the disproportionation and recombination and thus, effective grain refinement of thermodynamically more stable compounds such as Nd$_2$(Fe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$)$_{14}$B with a high Co content. Using enhanced hydrogen pressure and temperature during milling, the disproportionation of the complete series ($0 \leq x \leq 1$) is possible; and even the disproportionation of Nd$_2$Co$_{14}$B, the most stable compound in the series of alloys investigated, into a very fine mixture of NdH$_{2+\delta}$ and fcc-Co can be accomplished. The desorption behaviour of the disproportionated Nd$_2$(Fe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$)$_{14}$B powders ($0 \leq x \leq 1$) shows a shift of the onset temperature of the recombination reaction, $T_s$, to lower values with increasing Co content, from $T_s = 554°C$ for Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B to $T_s = 460°C$ for Nd$_2$Co$_{14}$B, consistent with the respective thermodynamic stabilities.
9.3 Summary

Slightly smaller grain sizes of around 40 nm are obtained from XRD patterns for Nd$_2$Co$_{14}$B, compared to approximately 50 nm for the Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B alloy when recombining at 600°C. Both alloys show enhanced remanences which is attributed to the effect of exchange-coupling between the nanoscaled grains, the effect being more pronounced for the Nd$_2$Co$_{14}$B alloy.
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In the present work, isotropic nanocrystalline (Nd,Pr)(Fe,Co)B permanent magnets have been produced by using three different techniques: rapid quenching, high energy ball milling in argon and reactive milling in hydrogen. One task has been to use non-equilibrium processing routes to transfer excellent intrinsic magnetic properties into good extrinsic properties of nanocrystalline magnets. The resulting randomly oriented grain structure limits, in principle, the remanent polarisation, $J_r$, and the energy product, $(BH)_{\text{max}}$, to 0.5 and 0.25, respectively, of the values obtainable for ideal microstructures consisting of single domain grains with full crystallographic alignment. Remanence enhancement via exchange-coupling has been used in this study to obtain remanences substantially above the Stoner-Wohlfarth limit, $J_r = 0.5J_s$. As a second task, the intergrain interactions between the crystallites of the nanocomposite structures have been analysed systematically in terms of their dependence on temperature, composition and grain sizes.

**Rare-earth rich magnets**

Highly coercive (Nd$_{1-x}$Pr$_x$)$_2$Fe$_{14}$B-type magnets (0 ≤ $x$ ≤ 1) were prepared using high energy ball milling and melt-spinning techniques. The compositions for the basic ternary alloys were: Nd$_{14.7}$Fe$_{77.3}$B$_{8.0}$ and Pr$_{14.7}$Fe$_{77.3}$B$_{8.0}$. The intensively milled powders consist of a mixture of nanocrystalline α-Fe and an amorphous phase. Melt-spun flakes are in a partly amorphous state with very small nanocrystallites of α-Fe. Thus, subsequent annealing is necessary in both cases to create the microstructure responsible for the high coercivities characteristic for these decoupled magnets: hard magnetic grains separated by a thin R-rich paramagnetic phase. Determination of the temperature necessary for complete crystallisation of the hard magnetic phase is very useful to select the adequate annealing temperature, in other words to avoid undesired grain growth effects. Optimum annealing temperatures for all the materials are in the range of 600 to 700 °C. The combined addition of small amounts of Dy (1 at.%) and Zr (0.1 at.%) results in much higher coercivities than those corresponding to the additive-free alloys with, for example, a value of $\mu_0H_c = 2.50$ T for milled and annealed Nd$_{14.8}$Dy$_{1.0}$Fe$_{77.3}$B$_{8.0}$Zr$_{0.1}$ compared to $\mu_0H_c = 1.75$ T for the ternary Nd$_{14.7}$Fe$_{77.3}$B$_{8.0}$. This increase is attributed to the higher anisotropy field due to the addition
of Dy and the grain-growth-inhibiting effect of Zr. As a result, only a small variation in coercivity and remanence with increasing annealing temperature is observed. Grain sizes for the additive-containing alloys after annealing are in the range of 70-100 nm resulting, additionally, in a more homogeneous microstructure than that of the additive-free alloys. An important effect resulting from the substitution of Nd by Pr is the increased coercivity due to the higher anisotropy field associated with the Pr$_2$Fe$_{14}$B phase, with the largest coercivity obtained for a melt-spun and annealed Pr$_{14.8}$Dy$_{1.0}$Fe$_{77.3}$B$_{8.0}$Zr$_{0.1}$ alloy ($\mu_0H_c = 2.71$ T).

Another important advantage of the Pr-containing alloys is the low deformation force necessary for texturing by hot deformation, with the lowest value obtained for the milled Pr$_{14.7}$Fe$_{77.3}$B$_{8.0}$ ternary alloy (about 5 MPa whereas about 15 MPa for the well-known melt-spun NdFeB-“MQP-A” are required). Pr$_{14.7}$Fe$_{77.3}$B$_{8.0}$ textured by die-upsetting exhibits magnetic properties as high as $\mu_0H_c = 1.20$ T, $J_r = 1.27$ T and $(BH)_{max} = 307$ kJm$^{-3}$. These promising values and the absence of spin reorientation for Pr$_2$Fe$_{14}$B (taking place at $T < 135K$ for Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B), make PrFeB-based magnets good candidates for low temperature applications, e.g. in conjunction with superconductors, where high performance is required.

**Nearly single-phase magnets**

The large anisotropy field of the Pr$_2$Fe$_{14}$B phase can be very useful to enhance the remanence at the expense of the coercivity. A first possibility is the substitution of part of Pr by Nd which increases the remanence without decreasing excessively the coercivity, resulting in a higher value of $(BH)_{max}$. Since the best combination of magnetic properties was obtained for the milled and annealed Pr$_{11.25}$Nd$_{3.75}$Dy$_{1.0}$Fe$_{76.0}$B$_{8.1}$Zr$_{0.1}$ alloy ($(BH)_{max} = 92$ kJm$^{-3}$), the Dy and Zr contents and the Pr:Nd ratio remained unchanged in the new alloy: Pr$_{9.0}$Nd$_{3.0}$Dy$_{1.0}$Fe$_{72.0}$Co$_{8.0}$B$_{6.9}$Zr$_{0.1}$. Reduction of the R content gives rise to significant remanence enhancement ($J_r = 0.92$ T) and high coercivity ($\mu_0H_c = 1.25$ T). A very fine and homogeneous microstructure with a mean grain size of 20 nm leads to an effective exchange-coupling, which explains the large remanence obtained. A small amount of an FeCo soft magnetic phase is detected in the milled and annealed powders but the magnetically single-phase behaviour observed during demagnetisation is indicative of
the coupling with the neighbouring hard magnetic grains. As a consequence, the hysteresis loop exhibits a good square shape resulting in a high energy product: \((BH)_{\text{max}} = 140 \text{ kJm}^{-3}\).

The small amount of Co present in the sample has been shown to play an important role: increasing the saturation polarisation, as a grain refiner of the microstructure and increasing the Curie temperature \((T_C \approx 385^\circ\text{C})\).

**Nanocomposite magnets**

Intensive milling has been shown to be a very versatile technique to produce high-performance nanocomposite magnets by blending the Pr\(_{9.0}\)Nd\(_{3.0}\)Dy\(_{1.0}\)Fe\(_{72.0}\)Co\(_{8.0}\)B\(_{6.9}\)Zr\(_{0.1}\) alloy with different fractions of soft magnetic \(\alpha\)-Fe \((x = 5 - 35 \text{ wt.}\% \alpha\)-Fe\). Enhanced remanences are found across the whole series with relative remanences \((\alpha' = J_r / J_s)\) increasing from 0.66 for the starting alloy to 0.74 for 35 wt.\% \(\alpha\)-Fe. A simple increase in the remanence, however, does not automatically lead to high energy densities as \(\mu_0H_c \geq J_r / 2\) has to be fulfilled additionally and the shape of the demagnetisation curve must not differ too much from the ideal squared shape. According to these requirements, the best combination of magnetic properties is obtained for the sample with \(x = 25\text{ wt.}\% \alpha\)-Fe: \(J_r = 1.19\ \text{T}, \ \mu_0H_c = 0.66\ \text{T}\) and a very high \((BH)_{\text{max}}\) value of 178 kJm\(^{-3}\), the high remanence i.e. \((BH)_{\text{max}}\) being due to the high saturation magnetisation of Fe and an effective exchange-coupling between the different grains. The very fine and homogeneous microstructure consists of hard and soft magnetic grains with a mean size of 20 and 15 nm, respectively. A diffusional redistribution of Co and Fe during the crystallisation process results in a lower Co content in the hard magnetic phase and the formation of the FeCo soft magnetic phase. This is due to the complete solubility of Co in the R\(_2\)T\(_{14}\)B and \(\alpha\)-Fe phases which results in a Curie temperature for the hard magnetic phase of about 365\(^\circ\text{C}\). In terms of applications, the achieved energy product and Curie temperature of this isotropic nanocomposite powder make it an excellent candidate for bonded magnets with the additional advantage of a reduced rare-earth content, i.e. lower cost and improved corrosion resistance. The greatest potential market for these magnets is the automotive industry where, in addition to the mentioned advantages, the possibility of developing complex shapes combined with good magnetisibility and the good mechanical properties exhibited can be very useful. Several factors still need to be explored before the successful
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production of bonded magnets using this powder: the reactivity of the very fine milled powder before bonding and friction between particles and resulting magnet density.

**Reversal magnetisation processes**

Analysis of recoil loops produced during demagnetisation by application of reverse fields to a previously saturated sample yields useful information about the process. These recoil loops have been measured at room temperature for, first, a decoupled magnet with the composition Pr$_{14.7}$Fe$_{77.3}$B$_{8.0}$ and, second, two different exchange-coupled materials: the nearly single-phase Pr$_{9.0}$Nd$_{3.0}$Dy$_{1.0}$Fe$_{72.0}$Co$_{8.0}$B$_{6.5}$Zr$_{0.1}$ alloy and the two-phase composite resulting of blending this alloy with 25wt.% $\alpha$-Fe by milling. The recoil loops for the first alloy are completely closed whereas those of the exchange-coupled materials are slightly open for the nominally single-phase composition, due to the presence of some soft magnetic phase, and significantly more open for the nanocomposite R$_2$T$_{14}$B/$\alpha$-(FeCo) -type magnet. In addition, steeper recoil loops (more pronounced in the case of the nanocomposite material) are observed for these latter alloys. This behaviour is characteristic for two-phase magnets and it is due to the reversible rotation of the exchange-coupled soft magnetic phase for fields not large enough to reverse the magnetisation of the hard magnetic phase. Measurements at low temperature show a rectangularly shaped hysteresis loop for the single-phase PrNdDyFeCoBZr alloy whereas a shoulder in the demagnetisation curve for the composite material was found. This two-step demagnetisation process is a consequence of the temperature dependence of the exchange length: the increase of the anisotropy constant $K_1$ with decreasing temperature results in a reduction of the critical exchange length for an effective exchange-coupling, $\delta_w$, given by $\delta_w = \pi (A/K_1)^{1/2}$. An analysis of the reversible and irreversible changes in the polarisation during the demagnetisation process indicates, by comparison with the room temperature measurement for an identically processed sample, that a larger fraction of Fe moments are contributing to the reversible change in polarisation for fields smaller than the switching field of the hard phase. This agrees with the first step observed at low reversed fields as a consequence of the independent reversal of the less effectively coupled soft magnetic grains, and the second step, at larger reversed fields, being due to reversal of the exchange-coupled grains. Low temperature measurements of the samples do not show any sign of
spin reorientation. A more detailed study of the inner loops has been carried out in order to obtain information about the intergrain interactions acting during the demagnetisation process. This has been done by plotting the quantity $\delta J$ which yields the deviation from the theoretical remanent polarisation for an applied reversed field given by Wohlfarth’s relation [46]. Two kinds of interactions are mainly responsible for possible deviations: magnetostatic and exchange interactions. Different interpretations can be found in the literature concerning the sign of $\delta J$. The evolution of the $\delta J$ values has been analysed when varying (i) the Fe-content, (ii) the annealing temperature, i.e. the grain size and (iii) the measurement temperature, i.e. variation of the exchange length. This systematic study has shown that negative deviations from $\delta J = 0$ can be interpreted as being indicative for the main role of the exchange interactions whereas positive deviations point to magnetostatic interactions to be predominant. The evolution of $\delta J$ at room temperature for three different types of alloys is shown in Fig. 10.1b illustrating this result. In the case of the Pr$_{14.7}$Fe$_{77.3}$B$_{8.0}$ alloy, $\delta J$ is exclusively positive which has been interpreted in terms of dominant magnetostatic interactions between the decoupled hard magnetic grains. The nominally single-phase alloy shows almost symmetrical positive and negative deviations from $\delta J = 0$ indicating that both types of interactions are of significance. An increase of the soft magnetic phase by the addition of 25wt.% $\alpha$-Fe leads to a stronger exchange interaction, with exclusively negative $\delta J$ values.

![Fig. 10.1: Measurements performed on Pr$_{14.7}$Fe$_{77.3}$B$_{8.0}$, Pr$_{9.0}$Nd$_{3.0}$Dy$_{1.0}$Fe$_{72.0}$Co$_{8.0}$B$_{6.9}$Zr$_{0.1}$ and Pr$_{9.0}$Nd$_{3.0}$Dy$_{1.0}$Fe$_{72.0}$Co$_{8.0}$B$_{6.9}$Zr$_{0.1}$ + 25wt.% $\alpha$-Fe after milling and annealing. (a) Demagnetisation curves; (b) $\delta J$-plots as function of the reversed applied field.](image-url)
However, a phenomenological analysis of these $\delta J$-plots remains to be undertaken. Simple theoretical models could be very helpful to distinguish the different contributions due to the intergrain interactions taking place during the demagnetisation process. In this way, the study of model systems consisting of phases with strongly different intrinsic magnetic properties should yield additional information by, for example, studying the effect of change of the exchange lengths and grain growth processes on the corresponding $\delta J$ values.

**Reactive milling in hydrogen**

This technique is an alternative route to the standard high energy ball milling and rapid quenching techniques for the preparation of amorphous or nanocrystalline materials. The procedure consists of ball milling under enhanced hydrogen pressure and temperature to disproportionate the material (for example Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B) into a finely divided mixture (NdH$_{2+\delta}$, $\alpha$-Fe and Fe$_2$B). Subsequent desorption leads to the recombination of the original hard magnetic phase, but now with a much refined grain size, in the same range as mechanically alloyed materials but an order of magnitude smaller than those of conventionally HDDR (Hydrogenation-Disproportionation-Desorption-Recombination) processed alloys. Magnetic characterisation of the reactively milled and recombined Pr$_{14.9}$Fe$_{77.7}$B$_{8.0}$ powders has shown, by comparison with the conventionally milled alloy, slightly higher remanences and lower coercivities due to the extreme conditions used in the former route.

The application of this technique has allowed for the first time the disproportionation and recombination and, thus, effective grain refinement of the thermodynamically very stable compounds Nd$_2$(Fe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$)$_{14}$B with a high Co content. In fact, the disproportionation of the complete series ($0 \leq x \leq 1$) has been possible (including Nd$_2$Co$_{14}$B, the most stable compound in this series of alloys). Nd$_2$Co$_{14}$B exhibits slightly smaller grain sizes of around 40 nm, compared to approximately 50 nm for the Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B alloy when recombining at 600°C. Both alloys show enhanced remanences which is attributed to the effect of exchange-coupling between the nanoscaled grains, the effect being more pronounced for the Nd$_2$Co$_{14}$B alloy. It would be very interesting to undertake a careful study of the disproportionated state by using more sensitive techniques than X-ray diffraction or TEM.
(Mössbauer spectroscopy, for example) because the reduced amount and small size of the (Fe,Co)$_2$B phase in the very fine disproportionated mixture makes it extremely difficult to detect it by conventional methods.

Reactive milling is a novel method suitable for production of multiphase exchange-coupled magnet powders with its full potential still to be explored. Innovative techniques such as reactive milling should open new perspectives not only in the field of permanent magnets.
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